

APPENDIX 4

The Criteria for Enabling Development

Enabling development that would secure the future of a significant place, but contravene other planning policy objectives, should be unacceptable unless:

- a. it will not materially harm the heritage values of the place or its setting**
- b. it avoids detrimental fragmentation of management of the place**
- c. it will secure the long term future of the place and, where applicable, its continued use for a sympathetic purpose**
- d. it is necessary to resolve problems arising from the inherent needs of the place, rather than the circumstances of the present owner, or the purchase price paid**
- e. sufficient subsidy is not available from any other source**
- f. it is demonstrated that the amount of enabling development is the minimum necessary to secure the future of the place, and that its form minimises harm to other public interests**
- g. the public benefit of securing the future of the significant place through such enabling development decisively outweighs the disbenefits of breaching other public policies.**

(Enabling development and the Conservation of Significant Places, English Heritage, 2008.)