



Basingstoke
and Deane



Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council Local Plan (2011-2029): Proposed Modifications

Sustainability Appraisal incorporating Strategic Environmental Assessment Report: Addendum

December 2015

Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Previous SA (inc. SEA) work undertaken	3
3. Purpose of Addendum	3
4. Sustainability Appraisal Methodology.....	4
5. Predicted sustainability effects	4
6. Cumulative Impacts.....	5
7. Monitoring	6
8. Next steps	6
9. Conclusion	6
Appendix 1: Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications following Local Plan Examination Hearings (Schedule A) and Sustainability Appraisal Outcomes	7
Appendix 2: Sustainability Appraisal (incorporating Strategic Environmental Assessment) of new policy SD1 (Presumption in favour of sustainable development) and modified policy EP1 (Economic growth and investment)	60
Appendix 3 – Cumulative, synergistic and indirect effects of Submission Local Plan (updated Table 11 of the SA Report (April 2015))	84
Appendix 4 – proposed monitoring framework of significant sustainability effects (updated Table 14 of the SA Report (April 2015))	95

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Sustainable development is the core principle underpinning the planning system. The Sustainability Appraisal (SA) process is a way of ensuring that all plans and programmes which relate to the development and use of land are compatible with the aims of sustainable development.
- 1.2 SA is a systematic process assessing the social, environmental and economic impacts of Plans, including the consideration of achieving further benefits. The appraisal is a statutory requirement originating from the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. Incorporated into the SA, is the European Directive 2001/42/EC which requires a Strategic Environmental Assessment which assesses the effects of plans specifically in the environment.

2. Previous SA (inc. SEA) work undertaken

- 2.1 To date work undertaken on the SA (inc. SEA) for the Local Plan includes:
- Core Strategy SA Scoping Report (September 2007)
 - SA (incorporating SEA) for the Pre Submission Local Plan (August 2013)
 - SA (incorporating SEA) for the Revised Pre Submission Local Plan (April 2014)
 - SA (incorporating SEA) addendum for the Submission Local Plan (October 2014)
 - SA (incorporating SEA) for the Submission Local Plan with proposed modifications (April 2015)

3. Purpose of Addendum

- 3.1 Following Submission of the Local Plan in October 2014, its progress through the Examination process has resulted in a number of proposed modifications (main and minor) to the Plan. The SA has continuously been undertaken on the Local Plan as it has developed and this addendum is the most recent update, appraising the impacts of the proposed main modifications resulting from the hearing session which concluded in November 2015. The SA (inc. SEA) documents the methodology, outcomes and potential significant effects and associated mitigation and enhancement measures.
- 3.2 The council has prepared a separate Schedule of Proposed main modifications following Local Plan Examination Hearings (Schedule A). A main modification can potentially alter the nature, type and extent of sustainability effects on both individual sustainability objectives or cumulatively on the objectives. They may, therefore, impact on or lead to additional significant effects which have not been predicted and considered within the SA Report (April 2015) (Examination document PS/02/16). This addendum appraises the modifications documented within Schedule A, and considers whether they have any additional sustainability implications.
- 3.3 The council has also prepared a separate schedule showing minor proposed changes which, if implemented, would not significantly change the meaning of

the Plan and have generally been made to address typographical errors or provide factual updates. The council has considered whether the proposed minor modifications may impact or lead to additional significant effects which have not been predicted and considered within the SA Report (April 2015). The council concludes that the minor modifications have no SA implication and will not impact or lead to additional significant effects.

4. Sustainability Appraisal Methodology

4.1 The SA process undertaken on the Local Plan has been an iterative process which is detailed within the Submission SA. The appraisal of the main modifications follows the same methodology and uses the same SA Framework. All main modifications have been assessed against the SA Framework and compared with the original outcomes of the SA on the Submission Plan to determine whether there are any additional or different significant effects predicted to arise. The outcome of this is shown within Appendix 1. Some of the main modifications have resulted in policies being subject to a full SA assessment – these are shown in Appendix 2. Appendix 3 provides details on the potential for significant effects as a result of the main modifications.

5. Predicted sustainability effects

5.1 As a whole, the main modifications will help to further add to the positive effects of the following policies:

- Policy SS3.1 – Swing Swang Lane
- Policy SS3.2 – Kennel Farm
- Policy SS3.3 – Razor’s Farm
- Policy SS3.4 – North of Popley Fields
- Policy SS3.7 – Redlands
- Policy SS3.8 – Upper Cufaude Farm
- Policy SS3.9 – East of Basingstoke
- Policy SS3.10 – Manydown
- Policy SS3.11 – Basingstoke Golf Course
- Policy SS3.12 – Hounsome Fields
- Policy SS8 – Basing View
- Policy CN1 – Affordable Housing
- Policy CN3 – Housing Mix for Market Housing
- Policy CN4 – Housing for Older People/ Specialist Housing
- Policy CN5 – Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People

5.2 Very few changes have been made to the SA scores as a result of the proposed main modifications. The changes that have been made relate to Policy EP1 (Economic growth and investment) which has been subject to SA (inc.SEA) in Appendix 2.

- 5.3 For this policy, the scores against objectives 3 (climate change), 4 and 5 (biodiversity and landscape) and 6 and 7 (water environment) have been amended from '0: no effect' to '0/? : no effect/ unknown impact'. The score against objective 18 (open space and recreation) has been amended from "0 – no effect" to "0/-: no effect/ negative impact". These changes reflect the fact that the policy enables proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, to come forward outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria.
- 5.4 The location of potential storage and distribution proposals is uncertain. However, the modifications will further add to the predicted positive impacts against objectives 11, 12 and 13 (Sustainable, prosperous economy) and also provide a suitable framework against which applications can be judged. Overall, the modified policy continues to have positive impact on the economic SA objectives due to its general support for economic development in the borough. Unknown/ negative impacts on environmental objectives will be mitigated by the policy criteria and other policies in the plan.
- 5.5 The main modifications also propose a new policy (SD1 – Presumption in favour of sustainable development). The SA of this policy can be found in Appendix 2. Overall, the inclusion of the model policy will provide a way to ensure a clear commitment to sustainable development in the Plan.
- 5.6 In addition, the main modifications propose two changes to the Policy Maps. The first is to extend the boundary of proposed housing site allocation at Upper Cufaude Farm (policy SS3.8) to include land to the north within the allocation. This is for landscape and appropriate open space measures only, to ensure sufficient mitigation of the development on the surrounding area. The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for policy SS3.8 against SA objective 5 (biodiversity and landscape) and as a result of the amended boundary there is potential for further positive effects.
- 5.7 The second change to the Policy Maps is to extend the boundary to include garden land associated with Redlands House within the proposed housing site allocation at Redlands (policy SS3.7). This increases the yield of the site to approximately 165 units. A SA of SHLAA site SOL002 (Redlands) is included within Appendix 14 of the SA Report (April 2015). This appraisal has been revisited in light of the inclusion of the small areas of additional land within the allocation boundary of Redlands (SS3.7). This concluded that the modification will not alter the impacts of the site or the policy against the SA objectives.

6. Cumulative Impacts

- 6.1 Table 11 of the SA Report (April 2015) included an assessment of the likely cumulative, synergistic and indirect effects of the Local Plan, in line with the requirements of the SEA Regulations. In light of some of the proposed modifications, amendments to table 11 are proposed in Appendix 4. Changes are shown via a strikethrough for text deleted or underlined and red text for new wording/ indicators.

6.2 The modifications to policy EP1 may result in some new significant effects compared to those predicted within the SA Report (April 2015). However, the policy criterion and other policies within the Local Plan, such as EM1 (Landscape), EM5 (Green Infrastructure) and EM7 (Managing Flood Risk), will individually or cumulatively ensure no significant adverse direct or indirect impacts.

7. Monitoring

7.1 The SA Report (April 2015) included a proposed framework for monitoring the likely significant effects of the Local Plan (Table 14 in the SA Report). This drew from and reflected indicators proposed for the monitoring framework included in the Local Plan. Some of the proposed main/ minor modifications relate to changes to the monitoring framework in the Local Plan, including new indicators. Therefore, a small number of amendments have been made to the SA monitoring framework, as shown in Appendix 4. Changes to indicators are shown via a strikethrough for deleted text or underlined text for new wording/ indicators.

8. Next steps

8.1 The proposed main modifications are being published for public consultation between December 2015 and February 2016. This SA Addendum is also subject to consultation. Following the consultation, the representations received on the main modifications will be considered by the Inspector when producing his final report regarding the soundness of the Local Plan.

9. Conclusion

9.1 The proposed main modifications to policy EP1 may present new significant effects compared to those predicted within the SA Report (April 2015). However, the policy criterion and other policies within the Local Plan will individually or cumulatively ensure no significant adverse direct or indirect impacts. A number of the modifications provide additional positive impacts and thus this addendum should be read in conjunction with the outcomes presented in the SA Report (April 2015).

Appendix 1: Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications following Local Plan Examination Hearings (Schedule A) and Sustainability Appraisal Outcomes

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
PM215	Before Chapter 3	22	<p><u>‘Policy SD1 – Presumption in favour of sustainable development</u></p> <p><u>When considering development proposals the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the National Planning Policy Framework. It will always work proactively with applicants jointly to find solutions which mean that proposals can be approved wherever possible, and to secure development that improves the economic, social and environmental conditions in the area.</u></p> <p><u>Planning applications that accord with the policies in this Local Plan (and, where relevant, with policies in neighbourhood plans) will be approved without delay, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.</u></p> <p><u>Where there are no policies relevant to the application or relevant policies are out of date at the time of making the decision then the Council will grant permission unless material considerations indicate otherwise – taking into account whether:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Any adverse impacts of granting permission would significantly and demonstrably</u> 	<p>Inclusion of new policy SD1, as requested by the Inspector at the exploratory meeting.</p> <p>The policy demonstrates that the Plan is consistent with the principles of the NPPF including the presumption in favour of sustainable development.</p>	<p>This proposed policy is subject to SA (inc. SEA) in appendix 2.</p> <p>The policy has a potentially positive impact on the increase in housing supply (objective 1). The policy also has a potentially positive impact on helping to maintain a sufficient supply of employment land to meet future needs (objectives 11, 12 and 13).</p> <p>Overall, the inclusion of the model policy will provide a way to ensure a clear commitment to sustainable development in the Plan. The policy takes account of the guidance within the NPPF concerning the need to consider applications according with the development plan promptly and where the plan is silent or out of date to also approve them unless they</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication																				
			<u>outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework taken as a whole; or</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Specific policies in that Framework indicate that development should be restricted.</u> 		conflict with the approach of the NPPF (paragraph 14).																				
PM22	After 3.13	24	A key diagram has been added into the Plan following a new introductory paragraph (listed as a minor change).	The inclusion of a key diagram within the Plan itself, as requested by the Inspector at the exploratory meeting.	The Key Diagram formed part of the Consolidated Policy Maps for the Submission Local Plan and is now to be included within the Local Plan itself. Considered there is no SA implication.																				
PM9a	Policy SS3	36	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Site Reference</th> <th>Location</th> <th>Allocation</th> <th>Phasing</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>SS3.1</td> <td>Swing Swang, Basingstoke</td> <td>Approx 100 homes</td> <td>2015/16 – 2019/20 2017/18 – 2019/20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SS3.2</td> <td>Kennel Farm, Basingstoke</td> <td>Approx 310 homes</td> <td>2015/16 – 2019/20 2016/17 – 2018/19</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SS3.3</td> <td>Razor's Farm, Basingstoke</td> <td>Approx 420 homes</td> <td>2015/16 – 2019/20 2017/18 – 2022/23</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SS3.4</td> <td>North of Popley Fields, Basingstoke</td> <td>Approx 450 homes</td> <td>2014/15 – 2019/20 2015/16 – 2022/23</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Site Reference	Location	Allocation	Phasing	SS3.1	Swing Swang, Basingstoke	Approx 100 homes	2015/16 – 2019/20 2017/18 – 2019/20	SS3.2	Kennel Farm, Basingstoke	Approx 310 homes	2015/16 – 2019/20 2016/17 – 2018/19	SS3.3	Razor's Farm, Basingstoke	Approx 420 homes	2015/16 – 2019/20 2017/18 – 2022/23	SS3.4	North of Popley Fields, Basingstoke	Approx 450 homes	2014/15 – 2019/20 2015/16 – 2022/23	Updated delivery timescales in Policy SS3, as agreed with site owners/promoters, and as set out in the Housing Supply Statement (August 2015) and the Statements of Common Ground with the landowners.	Delivery timescales amended to reflect most up to date evidence and discussions with the site owners/ promoters. No SA implication.
			Site Reference	Location	Allocation	Phasing																			
			SS3.1	Swing Swang, Basingstoke	Approx 100 homes	2015/16 – 2019/20 2017/18 – 2019/20																			
			SS3.2	Kennel Farm, Basingstoke	Approx 310 homes	2015/16 – 2019/20 2016/17 – 2018/19																			
			SS3.3	Razor's Farm, Basingstoke	Approx 420 homes	2015/16 – 2019/20 2017/18 – 2022/23																			
SS3.4	North of Popley Fields, Basingstoke	Approx 450 homes	2014/15 – 2019/20 2015/16 – 2022/23																						

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change				Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			SS3.5	Overton Hill, Overton	Approx 120 homes	2015/16 – 2019/20 <u>2016/17 – 2019/20</u>		
			SS3.6	South of Bloswood Lane /Manor Farm, Whitchurch	Approx 150 homes	2015/16 – 2019/20 <u>2017/18 – 2021/22</u>		
			SS3.7	Redlands, adjacent to Basingstoke	Approx 150 homes	2017/18 – 2024/25 <u>2017/18 – 2021/22</u>		
			SS3.8	Upper Cufaude Farm	Approx 390 homes	2020/21 – 2024/25 <u>2020/21 – 2025/26</u>		
			SS3.9	East of Basingstoke, Basingstoke	Approx 450 homes	2017/18 – 2024/25 <u>2018/19 – 2022/23</u>		
			SS3.10	Manydown, Basingstoke	Approx 3,400 homes	2017/19 – 2028/29		
			SS3.11	Basingstoke Golf Course, Basingstoke	Approx 1,000 homes	2020/21 – 2028/29 <u>2020/21 – 2027/28</u>		
			SS3.12	Hounsome Fields, Basingstoke	Approx 750 homes	2017/18 – 2028/29 <u>2017/18 – 2027/28</u>		

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
PM30	Before SS3.1	36	An inset map for allocation SS3.1 – Swing Swang Lane has been added into the Plan after a new introductory paragraph (listed as a minor change).	To provide a spatial representation of the site allocation, including detail that covers the what, where and when questions in the PPG.	The Inset map provides a spatial representation of the site including detail which covers the ‘what’, ‘where’ and ‘when’ questions of the PPG [paragraph 002 ID: 12-002-20140306]. The inset map and annotations are for illustrative purposes only. No SA implication.
PM118	Policy SS3.1 (e)	37	‘Include measures to improve accessibility by non-car transport modes particularly to Old Basing and ensure the ability to service the site by public transport, including the connection of the site with existing cycle and pedestrian routes, <u>including the Public Rights of Way Network and the Strategic Cycle Network with direct cycle access to Eastrop Park, Basing View and the Town Centre.</u> and improve connections towards Eastrop Park and Basing View ’.	Update to criteria e) to provide greater focus on the accessibility of the site by walking and cycling, in order to reflect the discussions at the hearing sessions (and as set out in MF22).	Potential for further positive effects. The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for Policy SS3.1 against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport).
PM34	Before SS3.2	37	‘ <u>An inset map has been developed for the whole south west of Basingstoke, including the sites at Basingstoke Golf Course (SS3.11) and Hounsome Fields (SS3.12) and is set out alongside Policy SS3.11</u> ’.	New text cross refers to the inset map for the south west Basingstoke sites. The map provides a spatial representation of the two sites, including detail that covers the what, where and when questions in the PPG.	The Inset map provides a spatial representation of the site including detail which covers the ‘what’, ‘where’ and ‘when’ questions of the PPG [paragraph 002 ID: 12-002-20140306]. The inset map and annotations are for illustrative purposes only. No SA implication.

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
PM119	Policy SS3.2 (e)	38	'Include measures to improve accessibility by non-car modes, the ability to service the site by public transport and ensuring connection of the site with the existing cycle and pedestrian routes, <u>including the Public Rights of Way network and the Strategic Cycle Network with direct cycle access to the town centre</u> . This will include improving the pedestrian / crossing facilities across the adjacent section of the A30, to enable access to services to the east, and the provision of a link to the east;'	Update to criteria e) to provide greater focus on the accessibility of the site by walking and cycling, in order to reflect the discussions at the hearing sessions (and as set out in MF22).	Potential for further positive effects. The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for Policy SS3.2 against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport).
PM38a	Before SS3.3	38	<p>An inset map for allocation SS3.3 – Razor's Farm has been added into the Plan after a new introductory paragraph (listed as a minor change).</p> <p>The boundary of allocation SS3.8 – Upper Cufaude Farm has been extended to include land to the north. This is for landscape and appropriate open space measures only, to ensure sufficient mitigation of the development on the surrounding area. Green hatching has been added to this area to correspond with the proposed new policy criteria (PM125).</p>	<p>To provide a spatial representation of the site including detail that covers the what, where and when questions in the PPG.</p> <p>The proposed modification to the allocation boundary was agreed with the National Trust and also Hampshire County Council as landowner, following the discussions at the hearing sessions (and</p>	<p>The Inset map provides a spatial representation of the site including detail which covers the 'what', 'where' and 'when' questions of the PPG [paragraph 002 ID: 12-002-20140306]. The inset map and annotations are for illustrative purposes only. No SA implication.</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
				as set out in MF17)	
PM121	Policy SS3.3 (j)	39	'Include measures to improve accessibility by non-car transport modes; including the provision of internal walking and cycle routes linked to existing external routes including the Public Right of Way network <u>and the Strategic Cycle Network with direct access to the Town Centre</u> and the ability to service the site by public transport;'	Update to criteria j) to provide greater focus on the accessibility of the site by walking and cycling, in order to reflect the discussions at the hearing sessions (and as set out in MF22).	Potential for further positive effects. The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for policy against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport).
PM43	Before SS3.4	39	An inset map for the allocation SS3.4 North of Popley Fields has been added into the Plan after a new introductory paragraph (listed as a minor change).	To provide a spatial representation of the site including detail that covers the what, where and when questions in the PPG.	The Inset map provides a spatial representation of the site including detail which covers the 'what', 'where' and 'when' questions of the PPG [paragraph 002 ID: 12-002-20140306]. The inset map and annotations are for illustrative purposes only. No SA implication.
PM123	Policy SS3.4 (h)	40	'Include measures to improve accessibility by non-car modes transport modes <u>including the provision of internal walking and cycling routes linked to existing external routes and the Strategic Cycle Network with direct cycle access to the particularly to Basingstoke Town Centre</u> including the connection of the site with existing cycle and pedestrian routes, and the ability to service the site by public transport;'	Update to criteria h) to provide greater focus on the accessibility of the site by walking and cycling, in order to reflect the discussions at the hearing sessions (and as set out in MF22).	Potential for further positive effects. The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for Policy SS3.1 against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport).

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
PM47	Before SS3.5	40	An inset map for allocation SS3.5 Overton Hill has been added into the Plan after a new introductory paragraph (listed as a minor change).	To provide a spatial representation of the site including detail that covers the what, where and when questions in the PPG.	The Inset map provides a spatial representation of the site including detail which covers the 'what', 'where' and 'when' questions of the PPG [paragraph 002 ID: 12-002-20140306]. The inset map and annotations are for illustrative purposes only. No SA implication.
PM51	Before SS3.6	41	An inset map for allocation SS3.6 South of Bloswood Lane/Manor Farm has been added into the Plan after a new introductory paragraph (listed as a minor change).	To provide a spatial representation of the site including detail that covers the what, where and when questions in the PPG.	The Inset map provides a spatial representation of the site including detail which covers the 'what', 'where' and 'when' questions of the PPG [paragraph 002 ID: 12-002-20140306]. The inset map and annotations are for illustrative purposes only. No SA implication.
PM54	Before SS3.7	42	<u>'An inset map has been developed for this site and East of Basingstoke (Policy SS3.9) together, and is set out alongside Policy SS3.9'.</u>	New text cross refers to the Inset Map for east of Basingstoke sites. The map provides a spatial representation of the two sites, including detail that covers the what, where and when questions in the PPG.	The Inset map provides a spatial representation of the site including detail which covers the 'what', 'where' and 'when' questions of the PPG [paragraph 002 ID: 12-002-20140306]. The inset map and annotations are for illustrative purposes only. No SA implication.
PM56	SS3.7	42	'Include measures to improve accessibility by	Update to criteria c) i) to	Amendment to criterion

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
	c) i)		non-car transport modes including the provision of internal walking and cycle routes linked to existing external routes including the Public Right of Way network <u>and Strategic Cycle Network with direct access to the town centre</u> and the ability to service the site by public transport;'	provide greater focus on the accessibility of the site by walking and cycling, in order to reflect the discussions at the hearing sessions (and as set out in MF22).	enables potential for further positive effects. The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport).
PM59	Before SS3.8	43	<u>'An inset map has been developed for this site and Razor's Farm (Policy SS3.3) together, and is set out alongside Policy SS3.3'.</u>	New text cross refers to the Inset Map for north east of Basingstoke sites. The map provides a spatial representation of the two sites, including detail that covers the what, where and when questions in the PPG.	The Inset map provides a spatial representation of the site including detail which covers the 'what', 'where' and 'when' questions of the PPG [paragraph 002 ID: 12-002-20140306]. The inset map and annotations are for illustrative purposes only. No SA implication.
PM125	Policy SS3.8 (d)	43	'Comprise a layout and design of development that <u>retains</u> respects the existing landscape and vegetation structure in and round the site, including enhancement and buffering of the hedgerow along the southern boundary and provision of a <u>well-designed and robust</u> strong <u>buffer, which reflects the existing landscape character</u> , on the western and northern sides of the site from the outset;'	Update to criteria d) to provide greater clarity on the mitigation measures required in terms of landscape impact, as agreed with HCC and the National Trust following the discussions at the hearing sessions (and as set out in MF17)	The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for policy against SA objective 5 (biodiversity and landscape). Potential for further positive effects.
PM126	Policy SS3.8	43	<u>'Keep the area hatched green on the inset map free of residential development, providing</u>	New criteria d) i) to provide a framework for	The SA assessment has already predicted positive

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
	New criteria after (d) i)		<u>additional landscape and appropriate open space measures to ensure sufficient mitigation of the development on the surrounding area.</u>	the inclusion of additional land into the allocated area, for the purposes of landscaping and mitigation measures only. This is in order to provide greater mitigation in terms of landscape impact. The proposed modification was agreed with the National Trust and HCC following the discussions at the hearing sessions (and as set out in MF17)	impacts for policy against SA objective 5 (biodiversity and landscape). Potential for further positive effects.
PM127	Policy SS3.8 (g)	44	<u>'Include measures to improve accessibility by non-car transport modes, including the provision of internal walking and cycling routes, linked to existing external routes, the Public Rights of Way Network and the Strategic Cycle Network, with direct cycle access to the particularly to Basingstoke Town Centre, and including the ability to service the site by public transport. and the connection of the site with existing cycle and pedestrian routes and the Public Rights of Way network, It will also be necessary to provide access to to the Access will also be required including access to the potential Chineham Railway Station and Cufaude Lane, and in conjunction with the development at Razors Farm'.</u>	Update to criteria g) to provide greater focus on the accessibility of the site by walking and cycling, in order to reflect the discussions at the hearing sessions (and as set out in MF22).	Potential for further positive effects. The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport).

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
PM64	Before SS3.9	44	<p><u>‘East of Basingstoke</u></p> <p><u>An inset map has been developed for the East of Basingstoke site and Redlands (Policy SS3.7) together.’</u></p> <p>An inset map for allocation SS3.9 East of Basingstoke has been added into the Plan after a new introductory paragraph which cross refers to the map.</p>	New text cross refers to the Inset Map for east of Basingstoke sites. The map provides a spatial representation of the two sites, including detail that covers the what, where and when questions in the PPG.	The Inset map provides a spatial representation of the site including detail which covers the ‘what’, ‘where’ and ‘when’ questions of the PPG [paragraph 002 ID: 12-002-20140306]. The inset map and annotations are for illustrative purposes only. No SA implication.
PM128	Policy SS3.9 (i)	45	<p>‘Include measures to improve accessibility by non-car transport modes including the provision of internal walking and cycle routes linked to existing external routes including the Public Rights of Way Network <u>and the Strategic Cycle Network with direct cycle access to the town centre and the provision of public transport from the outset and the ability to service the site by public transport’.</u></p>	Update to criteria i) to provide greater focus on the accessibility of the site by walking and cycling, in order to reflect the discussions at the hearing sessions (and as set out in MF22).	Potential for further positive effects. The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport).
PM69a	Before SS3.10	46	<p><u>‘...The wider Manydown site offers longer term potential for further development and as a component of this, consideration should be given to the future provision of a western by-pass connecting the A339 and junction 7 of the M3. In undertaking master-planning of the wider Manydown area, regard should be given to safeguarded land for the railway line, as identified on the Inset Map, and the need to avoid prejudicing the delivery of the current proposed housing.’</u></p>	Additional wording has been added to the introductory paragraph after the examination hearings to explain the status of the western bypass (in connection with SS3.10 criterion (n) being removed), as proposed through MF21.	The SA assessment of policy SS3.10 has already predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport). The deletion of criterion n) and its inclusion in the introductory text will not alter the predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
					objectives 8 and 9.
PM225	Before SS3.10	46	<p>An inset map for allocation SS3.10 Manydown has been added into the Plan after the updated introductory paragraph.</p> <p>The Inset Map has been amended following discussion at the hearing sessions. These changes provide greater detail about phasing and more flexibility over the location of the railway crossing (the precise location will be determined through future planning applications).</p>	To provide a spatial representation of the site including detail that covers the what, where and when questions in the PPG.	The Inset map provides a spatial representation of the site including detail which covers the 'what', 'where' and 'when' questions of the PPG [paragraph 002 ID: 12-002-20140306]. The inset map and annotations are for illustrative purposes only. No SA implication.
PM129	Policy SS3.10 (c)	46	'Include the provision of social and physical infrastructure, including community facilities, local shopping facilities, <u>healthcare facilities</u> and sports and leisure facilities including playing pitches, <u>with an Infrastructure Delivery Strategy to demonstrate that the infrastructure requirements of the Manydown allocation have been comprehensively planned and will be met.'</u>	Update to criteria c) to include reference to an Infrastructure Delivery Strategy (as agreed through Statement of Common Ground PS/04/58a, and defined in the glossary) and to include reference to the provision of healthcare facilities.	<p>The SA assessment of policy SS3.10 has already predicted a 'Neutral/negligible impact' score against objective 20 (health and well-being). Specific reference to "health care facilities" has potential for positive effects.</p> <p>One of the SA criteria for objective 1 (housing) is "Will it ensure that appropriate infrastructure is in place to serve the additional dwellings?". The SA assessment of policy SS3.10 has already</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
					<p>predicted significantly positive impacts for the policy against SA objective 1.</p> <p>The inclusion of the Infrastructure Delivery Strategy text will further add to the predicted positive impacts.</p>
PM130	Policy SS3.10 (i)	46	<p>'Retain the separate identity and character of Worting and Wootton St Lawrence, and restrict coalescence between these villages and the new development and retain the separate identity and character of Winklebury, including conserving the ancient boundary of the Roman Road as a green boundary Wootton St Lawrence and Oakley and restrict coalescence between the new development and these villages. The development will also retain the separate identity and character of Worting and Winklebury, including conserving the ancient boundary of the Roman Road as a green boundary'.</p>	<p>Update to criteria i) to provide clarification about relationship between new development and surrounding settlements.</p> <p>Proposed wording amended from that set out in MF18 to provide greater clarity.</p>	<p>This modification provides clarification about the relationship between new development and surrounding settlements. The modification results in no change to the predicted impacts.</p> <p>The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for Policy SS3.1 against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport).</p>
PM131	Policy SS3.10 (l)	47	<p>'Include measures to mitigate the impact of development on the local road network including improvements to the A339, Roman Road (and associated road junctions), the B3400, <u>and Pack Lane and the road through Wootton St Lawrence</u>, with appropriate measures to maintain accessibility for existing residents and</p>	<p>Update to criteria l) to include additional reference to Wootton St Lawrence Road, to address concerns in relation to traffic impact.</p>	<p>The SA assessment of policy SS3.10 has already predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport). The additional text will not alter</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			ensure safe and convenient access for all road-users’;	As proposed through MF21.	the predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9.
PM132	Policy SS3.10 (m)	47	‘Include provision of a road through the land allocated for housing, from the A339 to the B3400, linking the proposed housing to the existing communities and to provide the ability to connect to potential future sites to the south, with the location <u>and design</u> of the road being determined through the master-planning process <u>to achieve the optimum balance between movement and place-shaping. Land shall be safeguarded for a potential future crossing of the railway. Regard shall be had to the optimum location for this road to cross the railway line(s).</u> ’	Update to criteria m) to provide further detail about the design of the link road. The criterion has also been amended to show the indicative area in which land will be safeguarded for a railway crossing. As proposed through MF19/20 (with minor subsequent changes).	The SA assessment of policy SS3.10 has already predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport). The modification will not alter the predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9.
PM133	Policy SS3.10 (n)	47	‘In undertaking the master planning process, regard shall be had to the potential requirement for a Basingstoke western by-pass that would link the A339 to Junction 7 of the M3 motorway. Such a western by-pass would be to the outside of the land currently allocated for housing but the master planning process should have regard to the optimum point for such a western by-pass to cross the railway line(s) without prejudicing the delivery of the current proposed housing or the construction of the road connecting that housing.’	Criteria n) removed from policy and additional supporting text added to introductory paragraph (PM69a). This reflects the view that delivery of the road is a longer-term ambition. As proposed through MF21.	The SA assessment of policy SS3.10 has already predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport). The deletion of the criterion n and the inclusion on the introductory text will not alter the predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9.

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
PM134	Policy SS3.10 (p)	47	'Include measures to improve accessibility by non-car transport modes including the provision of internal walking and cycle <u>cycling</u> routes linked to existing external routes, including the Public Rights of Way network and the Strategic Cycle Network, with direct cycle access to the town centre and the provision of public transport from the outset; '	Update criteria p) to provide greater focus on the accessibility of the site by walking and cycling (as set out in MF22).	Potential for further positive effects. The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport).
PM135	Policy SS3.10 (u)	47	'On the land south of the railway line and north of Pack Lane, development will be limited to a yield of approximately up to <u>up to</u> 300 units, unless workable transport mitigation measures can be demonstrated to support a higher yield.'	Update criteria u) to be more specific about the yield of the parcel in light of constraints.	The modification will not alter predicted impacts of policy SS.10 against the SA objectives.
PM71a	Before SS3.11	48	An inset map for the sites in South West Basingstoke has been added into the Plan after a new introductory paragraph (listed as a minor change). The inset map was amended following discussion at the hearing sessions to include an indicative link from Hounsme Fields that may be needed to serve development to the north-west of the site, and to make a minor correction to the alignment of the site's north-western boundary.	To provide a spatial representation of the site including detail that covers the what, where and when questions in the PPG.	The Inset map provides a spatial representation of the site including detail which covers the 'what', 'where' and 'when' questions of the PPG [paragraph 002 ID: 12-002-20140306]. The inset map and annotations are for illustrative purposes only. No SA implication.
PM11b	SS3.11 c)	48	'Include the provision of social and physical infrastructure, including the provision of a community centre, local shopping facilities, and sports facilities including playing pitches, <u>with</u>	Update to criterion c) to reflect a coordinated approach to infrastructure delivery in	The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objective 18

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p><u>adequate land to meet the outdoor sports needs of both this site and the development on Hounsome Fields with an Infrastructure Delivery Strategy to demonstrate that the needs of the development sites in south-west Basingstoke have been comprehensively planned and will be met.'</u></p>	<p>South-West Basingstoke.</p> <p>Following the hearings, the document has been renamed as the Infrastructure Development Strategy (rather than the Infrastructure Delivery Plan) to avoid confusion with the council's document of the same name. The Infrastructure Delivery Strategy is defined in the glossary.</p>	<p>(open space and recreation). The modification will not alter the predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objective 18.</p> <p>One of the SA criteria for objective 1 (housing) is "Will it ensure that appropriate infrastructure is in place to serve the additional dwellings?". The SA assessment of policy SS3.11 has already predicted significantly positive impacts for the policy against SA objective 1. The inclusion of the Infrastructure Delivery Strategy text will further add to the predicted positive impacts.</p>
PM13a	Policy SS3.11 (i)	48	<p>'Include measures to improve accessibility by non-car transport modes, the ability to service the site by public transport and ensuring the external and internal connection of the site with existing cycle and pedestrian routes and <u>including the provision of internal walking and cycling routes linked to existing external routes, and the Public Rights of Way network and the Strategic Cycle Network, with direct cycle</u></p>	<p>Update criteria i) to provide greater focus on the accessibility of the site by walking and cycling (and as set out in MF22).</p>	<p>Potential for further positive effects. The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport).</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<u>access to the town centre, and facilitating improved access towards Dummer, improving the links to the existing Winchester Road bus stops and providing safe and convenient crossing points to facilities provided on Hounsome Fields (SS3.12);</u>		
PM136	Policy SS3.11 (new criterion after i)	48	<u>'Ensure provision of public transport from the outset'.</u>	New criteria to reflect the importance of embedding sustainable transport habits from the outset.	Potential for further positive effects. The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport).
PM15b	SS3.12 c)	(49)	<u>'Include the provision of social and physical infrastructure, including the provision of a community centre, local shopping facilities, indoor sports facilities including playing pitches, and a three form entry primary school, and early years facilities, with an Infrastructure Delivery Strategy to demonstrate that the needs of the development sites in south-west Basingstoke, including outdoor sports, have been comprehensively planned and will be met.'</u>	Update to criteria c) to reflect a coordinated approach to infrastructure delivery in SW Basingstoke. Following the hearings, the document has been renamed as the Infrastructure Development Strategy (rather than the Infrastructure Delivery Plan) to avoid confusion with the council's document of the same name. The Infrastructure Delivery	The inclusion of "early year's facilities" has the potential for further positive effects against SA objective 10 (skills/ education). The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objective 18 (open space and recreation). The modification will not alter the predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objective 18. One of the SA criteria for

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
				Strategy is defined in the glossary.	objective 1 (housing) is “Will it ensure that appropriate infrastructure is in place to serve the additional dwellings?”. The SA assessment of policy SS3.12 has already predicted significantly positive impacts for the policy against SA objective 1. The inclusion of the Infrastructure Delivery Strategy text will further add to the predicted positive impacts.
PM214	Policy SS3.12 (j)	(49)	‘Include measures to improve accessibility by non-car transport modes, the ability to service the site by public transport and ensuring the external and internal connection of the site with existing cycle and pedestrian routes and including the provision of internal walking and cycling routes linked to existing external routes, and the Public Rights of Way network and the Strategic Cycle Network, with direct access to the town centre and facilitating improved access towards Dummer, improving the links to the existing Winchester Road bus stops and providing safe and convenient crossing points for the A30;’	Update to criteria j) to provide greater focus on the accessibility of the site by walking and cycling (and as set out in MF22).	Potential for further positive effects. The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport).
PM138	Policy SS3.12	(49)	<u>‘Ensure provision of public transport from the outset’.</u>	New criteria to reflect the importance of	Potential for further positive effects. The SA assessment

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
	(new criterion after j)			embedding sustainable transport habits from the outset.	has already predicted positive impacts for the policy against SA objectives 8 and 9 (access and transport).
PM139	Policy SS4 (second paragraph)	49	‘To take account of water quality matters in line with Policy EM6 and where monitoring indicates a likely deterioration in individual elements band status of the borough’s water bodies, the council will resist granting further planning applications where this would <u>development proposals in affected areas will have to demonstrate that they would not</u> exacerbate such deterioration in the relevant catchment. Intervention mechanisms will be required to improve the quality of the relevant catchment prior to the release of any further allocated sites or granting <u>of planning permissions.</u> ’	Updated policy wording to ensure the policy is sound and does not impose a blanket ban on development in conflict with the NPPF, and to ensure consistency with EM6: Water Quality.	The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for policy against SA objective 6 (water environment). The modified wording will continue to prevent a deterioration of the borough’s water bodies and maintain water quality. The modification will not alter the predicted impacts for the policy against SA.
PM140	Para 4.48	50	‘Given local water quality issues, further development will also be dependent on the status of water bodies, and this will be considered through monitoring undertaken by the Environment Agency through the relevant River Basin Management Plan and annual monitoring information which will be reported through the council’s annual monitoring. In the event that this indicates that the status of the borough’s water bodies is likely to deteriorate in band status, <u>development proposals in affected areas will have to demonstrate that they would not exacerbate deterioration in the relevant catchment.</u> Then the council will resist the	Updated supporting text, in line with the changes suggested by the Inspector following the public hearings on water quality.	The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for policy SS4 against SA objective 6 (water environment). The modified wording of the paragraph will continue to prevent a deterioration of the borough’s water bodies and maintain water quality. The modification will not alter the predicted impacts for the policy against SA.

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p>granting of further planning permissions or release of Greenfield sites where the Environment Agency indicates that this is likely to contribute to the situation. In such situations, The council will work in partnership with the Environment Agency, the water companies and other relevant parties to identify the means of reversing the deterioration through appropriate intervention mechanisms, <u>prior to the release of any further allocated sites or granting of planning permissions.</u></p>		
PM141	Policy SS5	50	<p>‘The council will support parish/town council and other representatives from local communities in non-parished areas, through the Neighbourhood Planning process.</p> <p>In the settlements listed below, it will be necessary to identify sites/opportunities to meet the following levels of development, generally in and around defined Settlement Policy Boundaries:</p> <p>a) Bramley – approximately <u>at least</u> 200 homes b) Kingsclere – approximately <u>at least</u> 50 homes c) Oakley – approximately <u>at least</u> 150 homes d) Overton – approximately <u>at least</u> 150 homes e) Whitchurch – approximately <u>at least</u> 200</p>	<p>Updated policy wording, as proposed through MF15.</p> <p>The inclusion of ‘at least’ (for the 5 settlements) promotes flexibility and ensures that the figures are not considered ceilings for development levels.</p> <p>Further clarity is also provided about the distribution of the further 150 units to ensure the overall delivery requirement is met.</p> <p>Further clarity is</p>	<p>The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for policy SS5 against SA objective 1 (housing).</p> <p>The modification will not alter the predicted impacts for the policy against SA.</p> <p>As noted in the SA of policy SS5 in the SA Report (April 2015), predicted impacts are uncertain without knowing the specific sites. The modifications continue this uncertainty and it is considered that there will be no SA implications.</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p>homes</p> <p>A further 150 homes will need to be identified through neighbourhood plans/neighbourhood development orders in areas outside of those listed above <u>and it will be necessary to identify sites/opportunities to deliver at least 10 homes in and around each of the settlements with defined Settlement Policy Boundaries</u>^{Footnote}.</p> <p>The council will support the relevant parish/town council and other representatives from local communities to identify the most appropriate means of meeting this requirement, through Neighbourhood Planning, rural exceptions schemes, or a review of Settlement Policy Boundaries.</p> <p>The delivery of housing <u>which meets the requirements of this policy</u> will be monitored annually by the council to ensure the housing requirement is met <u>and the council reserves the right to identify opportunities to address any shortfall through the DPD process. For the five named settlements, if a neighbourhood plan/neighbourhood development order (Regulation 16) has not been submitted by April 2017, the council will consider the need to allocate additional sites. If no opportunities have been identified by 2017 the council reserves the right to identify opportunities to address any shortfall through the DPD process.</u></p>	<p>provided about the suitable trigger point for the council to step in and identify opportunities for meeting the policy requirement (if they have not otherwise been met).</p>	

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			New footnote: ' <u>Excluding Basingstoke and Tadley</u> '		
PM143	4.52	51	'The delivery of housing in each of the settlements named in Policy SS5 will be monitored annually to ensure the requirements of the policy will be met within the plan period. If no opportunities have been identified by 2017 the council will assess the need for it to identify sites through appropriate means such as the adoption of suitable DPDs. At least 750 homes will be delivered at the five named settlements through this policy, reflecting the size, characteristics, needs and sustainability credentials of these settlements. An additional 150 homes are expected to be delivered through Neighbourhood Planning across the remainder of the borough, outside of those settlements listed in the policy, Basingstoke Town and Tadley. At least ten homes will be delivered primarily within or adjacent to settlements with defined Settlement Policy Boundaries, in addition to other development outside of these areas where growth will reflect local needs and ambitions. This approach is based upon the ambitions of those parish and town councils who responded to a consultation exercise in 2011 and also the number of areas designated for neighbourhood planning purposes outside of those parishes where specific number allocations have been made.' <u>'The delivery of housing in each of the settlements named in Policy SS5 will be monitored annually to ensure the requirements of the policy will be met within the plan period. If no opportunities have been identified by 2017 the council will assess the need for it to identify sites through appropriate means such as the adoption of suitable DPDs. At least 750 homes will be delivered at the five named settlements through this policy, reflecting the size, characteristics, needs and sustainability credentials of these settlements. An additional 150 homes are expected to be delivered through Neighbourhood Planning across the remainder of the borough, outside of those settlements listed in the policy, Basingstoke Town and Tadley. At least ten homes will be delivered primarily within or adjacent to settlements with defined Settlement Policy Boundaries, in addition to other development outside of these areas where growth will reflect local needs and ambitions. This approach is based upon the ambitions of those parish and town councils who responded to a consultation exercise in 2011 and also the number of areas designated for neighbourhood planning purposes outside of those parishes where specific number allocations have been made.'</u>	Updated supporting text to explain the changes proposed to Policy SS5. As proposed through MF15.	The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for policy SS5 against SA objective 1 (housing). The modification will not alter the predicted impacts for the policy against SA. As noted in the SA of policy SS5 in the SA Report (April 2015), predicted impacts are uncertain without knowing the specific sites. The modifications continue this uncertainty and it is considered that there will be no SA implications.

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
PM144	4.53	52	<p>'An additional 150 homes are expected to be delivered through Neighbourhood Planning across the borough, outside of those settlements listed in the policy. Such developments are generally expected to be provided within or adjacent to smaller settlements within the borough. This approach is based upon the ambitions of those parish and town councils who responded to a consultation exercise in 2011 and also the number of areas designated for neighbourhood planning purposes outside of those parishes where specific number allocations have been made. If no opportunities have been identified by 2017 the council will assess the need for it to identify sites through appropriate means such as the adoption of a suitable DPD. Progress towards meeting the requirements set out in the policy will be monitored annually as part of the Authority Monitoring Report to ensure the requirements of the policy will be met within the plan period. The council reserves the right to identify opportunities to address any shortfall through appropriate means such as the adoption of suitable Development Plan Documents. For the 5 settlements named in the policy, the council will consider the need to allocate additional sites if no opportunities have been identified through a Submission</p>	Updated supporting text to explain the changes proposed to Policy SS5. As proposed through MF15.	The modification provides information on how the effectiveness of the policy will be monitored. The modification will not alter predicted impacts of policy SS5 against the SA objectives.

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<u>neighbourhood plan/ neighbourhood development order (Regulation 16) by April 2017</u> ’.		
PM147	Policy SS6 e)	53	<p>‘Small scale ^{Footnote} residential proposals of a scale and type that meet a locally agreed need have the support of the local parish council/town councils/parish meetings, provided that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ix) <u>It is well related to the existing settlement and would</u> They do not result in an isolated form of development; <u>and</u> x) The scale is appropriate to the site and location; <u>The development will respect the qualities of the local landscape and be sympathetic to its character and visual quality; and</u> xi) The development will respect the local environment and amenities of neighbouring properties and relate to the character, form and appearance of surrounding development, and respect the amenities of the residents of neighbouring properties; and xii) The development is well related to the existing settlement; or <p>New footnote to state: <u>‘Four dwellings or fewer (net)’</u></p>	Updated criteria e) of policy. Reference to support from parish and town council has been removed in light of soundness concern. The policy still allows flexibility for settlements to grow in a sustainable manner, and would require input from Parish/Town Councils without developments being reliant upon their support. Changes proposed through MF14.	The modification will not alter predicted impacts of policy SS6 against the SA objectives.
PM74	SS6 (new criterion after f)	53	<u>‘Allocated for development in a Neighbourhood Plan which has been ‘made’ by Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council.’</u>	New criteria added to prevent potential conflict between different plans.	The modification will not alter predicted impacts of policy SS6 against the SA objectives.

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
PM149	After 4.61	54	<u>'The policy allows small-scale new residential development in the countryside in limited circumstances, where it can be demonstrated that it would meet a local need, as agreed in consultation with the parish/town council (for example, in relation to dwelling size and type). Such developments should be four dwellings or fewer (net) to fall below the size threshold of sites that could be allocated through neighbourhood plans. It will be necessary for such developments to be well related to existing settlements and be suitably designed to respect the landscape setting and relate well to surrounding development.'</u>	New supporting text to explain the changes proposed to Policy SS6. As proposed through MF14.	The modification will not alter predicted impacts of policy SS6 against the SA objectives.
PM75	Policy SS8	56	<p>'Policy SS8 – Basing View</p> <p>Basing View will be regenerated as a 21st century business park <u>location and will be protected as a high quality strategic employment site for employment use (B1 class). It and will support the role of Basingstoke town by encouraging economic prosperity and inward investment.</u></p> <p>The regeneration of Basing View will fulfil its potential through a partnership approach and will seek to deliver the vision for the area. Development proposals will therefore be permitted which:</p> <p>a) Establish Basing View as one of the</p>	Updated policy to reflect the strategy of allowing a broader range of uses on Basing View, and to identify the uses allowed on different parts of the site.	<p>The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for policy SS8 against SA objectives 1 (housing) and 11, 12 and 13 (sustainable, prosperous economy).</p> <p>The additional text regarding complementary uses will further add to the predicted positive impacts of the policy against objectives 12 and 13.</p> <p>The additional text regarding provision of</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p>borough's primary focuses for employment through the provision of premier sustainable business facilities (B1 use);</p> <p>b) Support regeneration through the provision of complementary mixed uses <u>(such as retail, hotel(s), residential (including serviced apartments), education, medical facilities, leisure uses and restaurants:</u></p> <p>c) Make efficient and effective use of under used/vacant land;</p> <p>d) Utilise sustainable design and construction methods and provide on-site low carbon energy generation where feasible and viable;</p> <p>e) Address the potential use of non-car modes to access the site and mitigate the increased use of the site on the wider highway network.</p> <p>e) <u>Deliver a total of approximately 300 dwellings at Basing View;</u></p> <p>f) <u>Secure the provision of facilities for cyclists, pedestrians and public transport to access Basing View in a safe and convenient manner, including integration with the town centre and railway station and the necessary mitigation works for the wider highway network;</u></p> <p>g) <u>Achieve a high standard of design and contribute towards the delivery of a high quality public realm.</u></p>		<p>facilities for cyclists, pedestrians and public transport will help to add positive impacts of the policy against objective 9 (access and transport).</p> <p>As a whole, it is predicted there will be further positive impacts as a result of the modifications.</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p><u>Development will be permitted in accordance with the following provisions for each sub area as shown on the Basing View Inset Map.</u></p> <p><u>i) Area A (Downtown): the area will be developed for a mix of town centre uses including retail, residential and leisure uses, while retaining a strong office presence. Development should be in line with Policy EP3 which defines the western end of the site as falling within the town centre boundary. Development will be expected to be of high design quality to reinforce the area’s status as a gateway to Basing View and the town centre.</u></p> <p><u>ii) Area B (Midtown): the area will be developed primarily for office uses and will include a hotel, small scale complementary retail, leisure and restaurant uses with a new urban open space in a central location to act as a focal point for the development.</u></p> <p><u>iii) Area C (Uptown): the area, which includes the Gresley Road triangle site, will be developed primarily for B1 uses but may include residential development and small scale complementary leisure, retail, restaurant, medical and educational use.’</u></p>		
PM82a	4.73	57	<u>‘Market analysis and</u> initial masterplanning work has demonstrated that there is a robust case for	Updated supporting text to provide greater clarity	The SA assessment has already predicted positive

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p>the regeneration of Basing View as a sustainable urban business location <u>with the potential to capitalise on its excellent transport links and proximity to the town centre. The inclusion of complementary land uses, including retail, residential, hotels, leisure and restaurants will serve the office based business community, inject new life into the area and broaden the range of employment opportunities. The potential currently exists for provision of approximately 300 new residential units without prejudicing the primary economic and employment role of the site.</u></p> <p>The regeneration of this area will be phased over an approximate 20 year period <u>in accordance with the provisions of Policy SS8 and the Basing View Inset Map to create three distinct character areas, namely Downtown, Midtown and Uptown, which reflect the proximity to the town centre, accessibility and the different land uses within each area. and will be guided by a Supplementary Planning Document, to be prepared through working closely with the local business community and through liaison with the LEP, residents and the appointed development partner.</u> Improving the public realm, introducing an appropriate mix of uses (including residential), improving pedestrian accessibility and wider links to the town centre will revitalise Basing View and create a more attractive and welcoming environment. It is <u>anticipated that the regeneration of Basing View</u></p>	<p>about the permissible uses on different parts of Basing View. An Inset Map has also been included.</p>	<p>impacts for policy SS8 against SA objectives 1 (housing) and 11, 12 and 13 (sustainable, prosperous economy).</p> <p>The additional text regarding complementary uses will further add to the predicted positive impacts of the policy against objectives 12 and 13.</p> <p>The additional text regarding provision of facilities for cyclists, pedestrians and public transport will help to add positive impacts of the policy against objective 9 (access and transport).</p> <p>As a whole, it is predicted there will be further positive impacts as a result of the modifications.</p> <p>The Inset map provides a spatial representation of the site including detail which covers the 'what', 'where' and 'when' questions of the</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p><u>would realistically contribute up to 20,000 square metres of new Class B1 floorspace to the local economy during the plan period. upon completion that a regenerated Basing View would contribute up to a further 4,000 jobs to the local economy during the plan period.</u></p> <p><u>The western end of the Downtown area lies within Basingstoke Town Centre boundary where town centre uses will be permitted. The remainder of the Basing View site (excluding Gresley Road Triangle) will be considered as an edge of centre location for town centre uses, reflecting the aspirations to regenerate the site in its entirety to deliver a sustainable business location. The exception to this is retail proposals which should be located within the defined town centre boundary and then in edge of centre locations which, for retail purposes, are limited up to 300 meters from the primary shopping area. Small scale complementary retail may be permitted outside of the town centre boundary where this supports the regeneration aspirations.</u></p> <p><u>An inset map has been prepared to show the broad distribution of uses on the site'.</u></p> <p>An inset map has been inserted into the Plan after this paragraph.</p>		<p>PPG [paragraph 002 ID: 12-002-20140306]. The inset map and annotations are for illustrative purposes only. No SA implication.</p>
PM150	Policy CN1 (third paragraph and new	61	<p>'...The tenure split of affordable homes will be 70% rented and 30% intermediate products.</p> <p><u>15% of affordable homes should meet</u></p>	Updated policy wording regarding accessibility standards, as agreed through the Statement	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy CN1 against SA

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
	footnote)		<p><u>enhanced accessibility or adaptability standards</u>^{Footnote} <u>to enable people to stay in their homes as their needs change.</u></p> <p>In seeking affordable housing provision....’</p> <p>New footnote:</p> <p><u>‘Enhanced accessibility and adaptability standards are achieved by meeting requirement M4(2) or M4(3) of the Building Regulations 2015, or subsequent government standard.’</u></p>	of Common Ground with the Home Builders Federation (MF10). A new footnote has also been added to refer to relevant regulations.	objective 1 (housing). Potential for even further positive effects as a result of the modification.
PM152	After 5.7	62	<p><u>‘In order to support the ageing population and the specific needs of some disabled people, the council expects 15% of affordable homes to be accessible and adaptable by meeting requirement M4(2) of the Building Regulations. The council may support proposals for wheelchair adaptable or wheelchair accessible affordable homes that meet requirement M4(3) in lieu of M4(2).’</u></p>	<p>New supporting text to explain the amended Policy CN1.</p> <p>As agreed in a Statement of Common Ground with the Home Builders Federation through MF10.</p>	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy CN1 against SA objective 1 (housing). Potential for even further positive effects as a result of the modification to the paragraph and the policy CN1.
PM153	Policy CN1 Implementation and monitoring	63	<p>Additional implementation bullet point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Use of planning conditions and Building Regulations</u> 	To reflect additional requirement of modified policy.	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy CN1 against SA objective 1 (housing). The additional implementation bullet will not alter predicted impacts of policy CN1 against the SA objectives.

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
PM154	Policy CN3 (a) and footnote	65	<p>'Includes a range of house type^{Footnote}, price and tenure <u>and size,</u> and'.</p> <p>New Footnote:</p> <p>'Including for older people, bungalows and suitable accommodation for downsizing with small gardens or outdoor space, <u>and for those wishing to build their own homes.</u>'</p>	<p>Updates to policy to reflect the fact that it only relates to market housing.</p> <p>Update to footnote to clarify that developers must make provision for self-build where appropriate, in accordance with the Self Build and Custom Housebuilding Act 2015, and to bring the policy in line with supporting text in para.5.23.</p>	<p>The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy CN3 against SA objective 1 (housing). The modification will not alter predicted impacts of policy CN3 against the SA objectives.</p>
PM155	Policy CN3 (d)	65	<p>'Provides <u>15% accessible and adaptable homes</u>^{Footnote} housing to enable people to stay in their homes as their needs change'.</p> <p>New footnote:</p> <p>'<u>Accessible and adaptable homes are those that meet requirement M4(2) of the Building Regulations 2015 or any subsequent government standard</u>'.</p>	<p>Updates to policy to set a specific requirement for accessible and adaptable homes, as agreed through Statement of Common Ground with the HBF (MF10).</p> <p>To reflect the Housing Standards Review/ Deregulation Act 2015.</p>	<p>The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy CN3 against SA objective 1 (housing). Potential for even further positive effects as a result of the modification.</p>
PM158	5.26	66	<p>Delete final sentence from end of paragraph.</p> <p>'It is widely recognised that wheelchair accessible housing standards are the minimum</p>	<p>Deletion of supporting text to reflect the fact that the Planning Practice Guidance does</p>	<p>The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy CN3 against SA</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			need to ensure independent living on a day to day basis for many wheelchair users.'	not permit Local Plans to seek wheelchair accessible market homes. Deleted to reflect changes proposed by MF10.	objective 1 (housing). In light of the other modifications to policy CN3 the deletion of this sentence will not alter predicted impacts of policy CN3 against the SA objectives.
PM160	CN3 Implementation and Monitoring	67	Additional implementation bullet point: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>'Use of planning conditions and Building Regulations'</u> 	To reflect revised policy position (set out in MF10)	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy CN3 against SA objective 1 (housing). The additional implementation bullet will not alter predicted impacts of policy CN3 against the SA objectives.
PM161	Policy CN4	67	'Proposals for housing specifically designed ^{Footnote 1} to meet the identified needs of older people or specialist accommodation ^{Footnote 2} (including for people with support needs) will be permitted where: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) they meet a proven identified need; and ii) the location is appropriate in terms of access to facilities, services and public transport; and iii) a range of tenures are provided. Where there is evidence of an identified unmet need in the local area <u>and the location is appropriate in terms of access to facilities, services and public transport, larger scale</u> ^{Footnote}	Updates to policy in line with the changes proposed through MF4, which highlighted the need for the policy to provide further clarity about the requirement for affordable accommodation in specialist housing. The policy has also been rearranged to provide greater clarity.	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy CN3 against SA objective 1 (housing). Potential for even further positive effects as a result of the modification.

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p>³ new residential^{Footnote 4} developments should incorporate specially designed housing/specialist accommodation, in line with the above criteria, to meet the needs of older people and people with support needs.</p> <p><u>The council will require affordable housing on all such developments that fall within Use Class C3, in accordance with Policy CN1 (Affordable Housing). Where it can be demonstrated that it would not be possible to provide affordable accommodation on-site, developers will be required to pay a financial contribution of equivalent value towards the provision of affordable housing’.</u></p> <p>Footnotes (unchanged):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Includes retirement housing (over 55’s) and continuing care retirement communities. This does not include mainstream housing which falls under Policy CN3. 2. Includes care or nursing homes, extra care housing and other housing to meet the needs of the NHS and Local Authority Adult Social Services including for those with a disability. 3. Approximately 200 units 4. Use Class C3. 		

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
PM163	Para 5.31	67	Deletion of last sentence 'The Council, in the first instance, will seek such uses within the identified settlement policy boundaries'.	Deletion of supporting text to reflect changes proposed in Policy CN4.	A SA criteria for the objective 17 (sustaining vibrant communities) is "Will it direct new development towards those settlements best able to accommodate it?". The SA of policy CN4 predicted a negligible impact against objective 17. The deletion of the text does not alter the SA scoring of the policy against the objective. This is because the policy requires the location of development proposals for housing for older people/ specialist housing is appropriate in terms of access to facilities, services and public transport. It is those settlements with an identified settlement policy boundary that are more sustainable and offer better access to facilities, services and public transport. No SA implication.
PM86	Policy CN5	69	'The council will make provision for 16 additional gypsy and traveller pitches and 3 temporary stopping places allocate permanent, temporary	Updated policy wording to reflect the findings of the new Gypsy and	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			and transit pitch/plot provision to meet the accommodation needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in the borough, as indicated in the most recent Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment.'	Traveller Needs Assessment (GTNA) and in order to provide greater clarity.	policy CN5 against SA objectives 1 (housing) and 2 (deprivation and social exclusion). Potential for further positive effects as a result of the modification as the policy is now identifying the number of gypsy and traveller pitches and temporary stopping places to accommodate the needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in the borough.
PM88	5.39	70	'Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family's or dependants' educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily or permanently, but excluding members of an organised group of travelling showpeople or circus people travelling together as such.'	Updated supporting text to reflects changes to the relevant definitions in the amended version of PPTS (published August 2015).	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy CN5 against SA objectives 1 (housing) and 2 (deprivation and social exclusion). The modification reflects the amended version of the PPTS published in August 2015. This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives.
PM89	5.40	70	'Members of a group organised for the purposes of holding fairs, circuses or shows (whether or not travelling together as such). This includes	Updated supporting text to reflects changes to the relevant definitions	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			such persons who on the grounds of their own or their family's or dependants' more localised pattern of trading, educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily or permanently, but excludes Gypsies and Travellers as defined above.'	in the amended version of PPTS (published August 2015).	policy CN5 against SA objectives 1 (housing) and 2 (deprivation and social exclusion). The modification reflects the amended version of PPTS published August 2015. This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives.
PM91	5.41	70	'The council conducted an assessment of the accommodation needs of gypsies, travellers and travelling show people within the borough (June 2012) which concludes that there is a need for seven additional permanent residential pitches and two temporary stopping places to be provided within the borough by 2017. The council published an updated Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment (GTNA) in August 2015. This identified a need for 16 pitches and 3 temporary stopping places. The study was produced before the publication of the amended PPTS, and therefore these pitch requirements may need to be reappraised during the course of the Plan period.'	Updated supporting text to reflect the publication of the new GTNA (August 2015) which supersedes the previous needs calculations and constitutes the current assessment of gypsy and traveller need over the Plan period.	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy CN5 against SA objectives 1 (housing) and 2 (deprivation and social exclusion). Potential for further positive effects as a result of the modification as the policy is now identifying the number of gypsy and traveller pitches and temporary stopping places to accommodate the needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in the borough.
PM93	5.42	70	'Short term needs are being progressed outside of the Local Plan and any unmet need will be considered in the preparation of the Settlement	Updated supporting text to provide clarification regarding how the	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			Policy Boundary Review DPD which will commence on the adoption of this plan. The council considers that this constitutes the most effective method of meeting the requirements set out in the PPTS. When providing gypsy and traveller sites on the housing allocations, it will be necessary to have regard to the relevant criteria listed in policy CN5.'	council's approach accords with national level policy.	policy CN5 against SA objectives 1 (housing) and 2 (deprivation and social exclusion). This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.
PM94	5.43	70	<u>'In addition to providing pitches on the 4 largest housing allocations, pitches can also be provided on appropriate sites via planning applications, subject to meeting the criteria set out in the policy and national guidance. The criteria in the policy respond to the need</u> It is important to ensure that Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites are accessible and that there is convenient access to local services and facilities, specifically educational, medical and welfare services to meet needs.'	Updated supporting text in order to provide clarification regarding the council's approach to meeting the needs of gypsies and travellers.	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy CN5 against SA objectives 1 (housing) and 2 (deprivation and social exclusion). This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.
PM164	Policy CN6 (third and fourth paras)	71	'Infrastructure provision or improvements should be provided on-site as an integral part of a development. Contributions towards infrastructure provision and improvements, as set out in the council's Charging Schedule will be secured through the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). Site specific mitigation measures will be secured by planning obligations.'	Updates to policy in order to clarify that CIL will not be the primary mechanism to secure funding for off-site infrastructure.	This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p>'Where off-site measures are proposed they should meet identified needs, and <u>the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)</u> will be the primary mechanism to secure the necessary financial or equivalent contribution <u>from development</u>. Site specific mitigation measures will be secured by planning obligations.'</p>		
PM167	Policy CN9	81	<p>Additional criteria at start of Policy CN9:</p> <p><u>'The council will work in partnership to promote a safe, efficient and convenient transport system which will:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>Build on the borough's strategic location, through improvements to strategic road and rail connections to the wider area;</u> b) <u>Promote transport choice, through improvements to public transport services and supporting infrastructure, and providing coherent and direct cycling and walking networks to provide a genuine alternative to the car and facilitate a modal shift;</u> c) <u>Improve access to Basingstoke town centre and rail station by all modes of transport and ensure good integration between transport modes;</u> d) <u>Manage congestion and provide for consistent journey times; and</u> e) <u>Promote and improve safety, security and healthy lifestyles.</u> 	Updates to the policy to provide greater detail about the Council's transport strategy.	<p>The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy CN9 against SA objective 9 (access and transport).</p> <p>This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			Development should seek to minimise the need...'		
PM168	Before 5.80	81	<p>Additional paragraph before 5.80, and edits to paragraph 5.80 itself.</p> <p><u>'The provision of a safe, convenient and efficient transport network in the borough is key to supporting residents, employees and visitors to the borough, as well as assisting the wider economy, given the role of the borough in the Enterprise M3 LEP area. To facilitate this, future transport planning of the borough will support the approach of policy CN9 through the preparation of a Transport Strategy for the Borough, in partnership with Hampshire County Council, transport operators, Network Rail, local interest groups and local residents and business. This will provide a framework to ensure that there are opportunities to access key services, facilities and employment locations by a range of modes of transport, including accessibility from new developments. This will be supplemented by the Borough Cycling Strategy which provides further detail on the Council's ambitions in terms of cycling, including the provision of a Strategic Cycling Network.</u></p> <p><u>The Transport Strategy will sit within the framework of the Hampshire Local Transport</u></p>	<p>Updated supporting text to provide greater detail about the Council's approach in terms of strategic transport.</p> <p>As proposed through MF22 (with minor amendments).</p>	<p>The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy CN9 against SA objective 9 (access and transport).</p> <p>This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<u>Plan (LTP) (2011-2031) produced by Hampshire County Council and any successor to this. The LTP Hampshire Local Transport Plan (2011-2031) produced by Hampshire County Council provides...</u>		
PM169	5.84	82	'Walking and cycling have a key role to play in ensuring an increase in sustainable travel. This can be achieved by providing safe walking and cycling routes with appropriate surfaces and lighting that are accessible to all, are convenient to reach, and link to places where services are located. <u>The Cycling Strategy and accompanying proposed Strategic Cycle Network provide further guidance on measures to encourage and facilitate cycling in the Borough. Development proposals may be required to assist in the provision of the network and / or provide appropriate connections, as well as close working with Hampshire County Council to achieve this.</u> Secure, convenient and weather resilient cycle parking will normally be required at destinations, including at key transport interchanges; where appropriate lockers and changing facilities should also be provided'.	Updated supporting text to provide greater detail about the Council's approach in terms of strategic transport. As proposed through MF22 (with minor amendments).	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy CN9 against SA objective 9 (access and transport). This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.
PM173	After para 6.8	85	<u>'The council's Green Infrastructure Strategy sets out the approach to manage, protect and restore existing green infrastructure and to expand and reconnect green infrastructure where there is an identified deficit or where housing growth is planned and additional provision is needed.</u>	Updated supporting text to provide more information on relevant council strategies. Increase recognition of green infrastructure and	The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for policies EM1, EM4 and EM5 against SA objectives 4 and 5 (biodiversity and

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p><u>Living Landscapes sets out the natural environment strategy for the council to create:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>A locally distinctive and attractive, countryside, with a character that reflects local geology, soils, material and traditions;</u> • <u>A halt to the net loss of biodiversity and recovery of wildlife populations and habitats, where possible; and</u> • <u>A reconnection of people with the natural environment, farming and other forms of rural land management.</u> <p><u>In partnership with the Local Nature Partnership and key partners, the council will further develop these objectives through a range of measures including Biodiversity Priority Areas and developing ecological network mapping at a landscape scale. This work will inform green infrastructure planning through the development management process.'</u></p>	<p>partnerships. As proposed through MF13.</p>	<p>landscape).</p> <p>This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.</p>
PM181	Policy EM4 Implementation and Monitoring	92	<p>Revised monitoring requirements:</p> <p>• the objectives and action plans of the relevant strategies and action plans outlined in the supporting text of the policy.</p> <p>• working in partnership with Natural England, the Hampshire and Isle of Wight Local Nature Partnership and Hampshire Biodiversity Information Centre</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>The condition and extent of SSSIs and extent of council-owned SINC's in the borough;</u> • <u>Key semi-natural habitat lost to</u> 	<p>To enhance the monitoring requirements, as proposed through MF13.</p>	<p>The modification will not alter predicted impacts of policy EM4 against the SA objectives. The proposed monitoring framework of significant sustainability effects (Table 14 of the SA Report (April 2015)) will be updated to reflect the amended/ additional indicators – see Appendix 4 of this addendum.</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p><u>development</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Area of habitat creation/restoration associated with new development or on private land through council initiatives</u> • <u>Increase in the area of council open spaces managed for biodiversity interest</u> <p><u>This monitoring will be reported through an annual Living Landscape Update, incorporating outputs from the relevant strategies/action plans above and relevant indicators arising from Biodiversity 2020 (when available).</u></p>		
PM96	EM7	100	<p>Additional text at end of Policy EM7</p> <p><u>'All planning applications for major development are required to ensure that sustainable drainage systems are used for the management of surface water unless demonstrated to be inappropriate. All new developments in areas at risk of flooding must give priority to the use of sustainable drainage systems.'</u></p>	<p>Updated policy wording to reflect the importance of incorporating sustainable drainage systems in new developments as highlighted in the Written Ministerial Statement December 2014, the NPPF and NPPG.</p>	<p>The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy EM7 against SA objective 7 (water environment).</p> <p>This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.</p>
PM98	6.58	101	<p>'The UK is committed to meeting carbon reduction targets set out by the European Commission in the EU Renewable Energy Target, which requires a 20% reduction in CO2 associated with electricity, heating and transport through conversion to renewable energy sources by 2020. The council has signed up to</p>	<p>Updated supporting text to update the position and refer to the council's adopted Climate Change Strategy.</p>	<p>The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy EM8 against SA objective 3 (climate change).</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p>the Climate Local Commitment the Nottingham Declaration on Climate Change and is committed to delivering the UK Climate Change Programme. <u>The council's Climate Change Strategy commits to matching the following national targets:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Carbon reductions of 34% by 2020 and 80% by 2050 (from 1990 levels); and</u> • <u>The provision of 15% of energy demand from renewable sources by 2020.'</u> 		This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.
PM99	6.61	102	<p>'BREEAM and the Code for Sustainable Homes (CSH) provide an assessment method for rating and certifying the sustainability performance of new buildings and provide a national standard aimed at encouraging continuous improvement in the sustainable design and construction of new buildings. The assessments cover a wide range of sustainability issues including energy and carbon emissions, water, materials, waste, ecology and health and wellbeing.'</p> <p>#</p>	Deletion of supporting text. Explanation of the BREEAM assessment is not required as this is defined in the glossary. Explanation and reference to Code for Sustainable Homes is no longer suitable in light of the governments withdrawal of the Code.	<p>The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy EM8 against SA objectives 3 (climate change) and 16 (resource efficiency).</p> <p>This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.</p> <p>The Code for Sustainable Homes has been withdrawn by government.</p>
PM100	6.62	102	'...The implementation of increased water efficiency standards not only benefit environmental water resources but can have	Deletion of supporting text. Reference to Code for Sustainable Homes	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			positive cost implications, both carbon and financial. It is proposed that this is implemented through the Code for Sustainable Homes standards.'	is no longer suitable in light of the governments withdrawal of the Code.	<p>policy EM8 against SA objective 3 (climate change) and 16 (resource efficiency).</p> <p>This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.</p> <p>The Code for Sustainable Homes has been withdrawn by government.</p>
PM102	Policy EM8-first paragraph	102	'Development proposals for the commercial generation of energy from renewable and low carbon resources (<u>excluding wind turbines</u>) will be permitted unless there are adverse environmental, economic or social impacts, including any long-term and cumulative adverse impacts which are not outweighed by the benefits'.	Updated policy wording in response to the Government's Written Ministerial Statement on wind energy development and subsequent changes made to the National Planning Practice Guidance.	<p>The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy EM8 against SA objective 3 (climate change).</p> <p>As noted in PM103 the identification of areas suitable for wind energy development will be considered through the Allocations and Settlement Policy Boundary DPD.</p> <p>This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
					implication.
PM103	After 6.66	103	<u>'Policy EM8 will apply to all types of renewable and low carbon technology, with the exception of wind turbines. Wind turbine proposals will be considered against relevant national policy and guidance including the Government's Written Ministerial Statement (WMS) on this issue, made on 18 June 2015. The Written Ministerial Statement advises that local planning authorities should only grant planning permission for wind energy development involving one or more wind turbines if the proposed development site is in an area identified as suitable for wind energy development in a subsequent Local or Neighbourhood Plan; and, following consultation, it can be demonstrated that the planning impacts identified by affected local communities have been fully addressed and consequently has their backing. Whether the proposal has the backing of the affected local community is a planning judgment for the Local Planning Authority. The identification of areas suitable for wind energy development will be considered through the Allocations and Settlement Policy Boundary DPD. In the meantime, wind turbine proposals will be considered against the WMS'</u>	Updated supporting text in response to the Government's Written Ministerial Statement on wind energy development and subsequent changes made to the NPPG.	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy EM8 against SA objective 3 (climate change). This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication. This change is in response to the In response to the Government's Written Ministerial Statement on wind energy development and subsequent changes made to the National Planning Practice Guidance.
PM104	Policy EM9	104	'Development will be permitted provided that: a) New homes (including replacement dwellings) achieve at least level 4²⁶ of the Code	Updated policy wording to reflect the Governments withdrawal of the Code	Overall, the modified policy EM9 continues to perform the same as Policy EM9 of the Submission Local Plan,

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p>for Sustainable Homes in terms of indoor water consumption meet a water efficiency standard of 110 litres or less per person per day; b) New homes (including replacement dwellings) achieve the Code for Sustainable Homes credit for external water use²⁷; e) b) New non-residential development of 1000sqm gross floor area or more meet the BREEAM 'excellent' standards for water consumption.</p> <p>The above applies unless it can be clearly demonstrated that it would not be feasible on technical or viability grounds.</p> <p>Compliance with this policy <u>the BREEAM requirement will require need to be demonstrated through the submission of final CSH certificates and a post construction BREEAM certificates as appropriate.</u></p> <p>Where new national standards exceed those set out above, the national standards will take precedence'.</p>	<p>for Sustainable Homes and also to reflect the guidance on optional water efficiency standards as set out in the NPPG.</p>	<p>gaining a significantly positive score against the SA objectives¹⁶ (resource efficiency).</p> <p>Overall, the modifications will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.</p> <p>The modifications ensure the policy and supporting paragraphs are consistent with national policy.</p> <p>Code for Sustainable Homes Level 4 indoor and outdoor water consumption standard equated to a maximum of 110 litres per person per day. The modified policy therefore requires the same water consumption figure as that proposed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
PM107	6.69	104	<p>'Climate Change has been identified as a key issue in the borough, particularly with regards to water resource issues. All new residential development has to meet the mandatory energy requirements of Code Level 3 and this will rise</p>	<p>Updated supporting text to remove reference to the Code for Sustainable Homes, which has now been</p>	<p>Overall, the modified policy EM9 continues to perform the same as the version of Policy EM9 of the Submission Local Plan. The</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p>to Code level 4 with tightening of the Building Regulations (currently scheduled for 2013). However, the Building Regulations do not require compliance with other elements of the Code for Sustainable Homes such as water efficiency, materials and ecology. As set out in government guidance, Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council has the option to set additional technical requirements in their Local Plan on exceeding the minimum standard (125 litres per person per day) required by Building Regulations in respect of water efficiency. The tighter Building Regulations optional requirement is 110 litres per person per day which includes a fixed factor of water for outdoor use of 5 litres per person per day. There is a clear local need for this requirement for new dwellings.</p>	<p>withdrawn by Government.</p>	<p>policy continues to perform significantly positive against the SA objectives¹⁶ (resource efficiency).</p> <p>Overall, the modifications will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.</p> <p>The modifications ensure the policy and supporting paragraphs are consistent with national policy.</p>
PM108	6.70	104	<p>'The North Hampshire Renewable Energy and Low Carbon Development Study concludes that setting requirements for Code Level 3 and 4 (which incorporates a water efficiency requirement equivalent to 105 litres per person per day for indoor use for all new homes and BREEAM 'very good' for non-residential development will encourages water efficient developments , and these should be able to be achieved without significantly onerous capital costs. will also ensure that Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems are installed in new development, helping to manage water locally</p>	<p>Updated supporting text to remove reference to the Code for Sustainable Homes, which has now been withdrawn by Government and to also reflect the NPPG on optional water efficiency standards.</p>	<p>Overall, the modified policy EM9 continues to perform the same as the version of Policy EM9 of the Submission Local Plan. The policy continues to perform significantly positive against the SA objectives¹⁶ (resource efficiency).</p> <p>Overall, the modifications will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p>and prevent downstream flooding. The council's Water Cycle Study (October 2009) concluded that 'the region is water stressed', and recommended firm implementation of these water efficiency standards in the Code for Sustainable Homes in order to manage demand on the water environment. <u>The Environment Agency Water Stressed Areas Classification (2013) identifies that the water company areas for Southern Water, South East Water and Thames Water, who all supply water in the borough, are all seriously water stressed. Areas of serious water stress are those where household demand for water is (or is likely to be) a high proportion of the current effective rainfall available to meet that demand'.</u></p>		<p>objectives. There is no SA implication.</p> <p>The modifications ensure the policy and supporting paragraphs are consistent with national policy.</p>
PM110	EM9 – Implementation and Monitoring	105	<p>'The policy will be implemented in line with the guidance set out in the Design and Sustainability SPD, which will be updated as necessary. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a commitment from applicants that a particular level of the Code will be achieved at planning application submission stage • design stage assessment by accredited assessor • use of planning conditions • advice on and the determination of planning applications. <p>The policy will be monitored through ensuring</p>	To remove reference to the Code for Sustainable Homes, which has now been withdrawn by Government.	<p>The modification will not alter predicted impacts of policy EM9 against the SA objectives. The proposed monitoring framework of significant sustainability effects (Table 14 of the SA Report (April 2015)) will be amended to reflect the amended/ additional indicators – see Appendix 4 of this addendum.</p> <p>The Code for Sustainable Homes has been withdrawn</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			submission of final Code certificates and post-construction BREEAM certificates and annual monitoring.		by government. The council is aware of changes to Building Regulations and guidance added to the NPPG with regards to water efficiency published in March 2015. In light of these changes the council reviewed policy EM9 and supporting paragraphs. The modifications ensure the policy and supporting paragraphs are consistent with national policy.
PM194	Policy EP1	118	<p>Insert new text at the end of the policy:</p> <p><u>'Development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, will be permitted which are:</u></p> <p>g) <u>Able to successfully mitigate the landscape impact, which will include the provision of sufficient space for appropriate soft landscaping/green infrastructure, appropriate location of development within the site, and utilise a design, and layout of built form and use of materials in order to ensure that any landscape impacts are minimised;</u></p>	Updated Policy wording in order to respond to the Inspector's note on Employment, requiring that EP1 be amended in order to address the potential for a storage and distribution site to come forward in the near future.	<p>This modified policy is subject to SA (inc. SEA) in appendix 2.</p> <p>The modifications to the policy introduce some potential negative impacts against objectives 4 and 5 (biodiversity and landscape), 6 and 7 (water environment) and 18 (open space and recreation). This is due to the modification which permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p>h) <u>Compatible with any neighbouring uses, including residential properties;</u></p> <p>i) <u>For the provision of high quality floorspace;</u></p> <p>j) <u>Well related to the strategic road network and easily accessible for HGV's;</u></p> <p>k) <u>Capable of being provided without having a severe highways impact;</u></p> <p>l) <u>Able to successfully mitigate the impact of the development on the character of nearby settlements; and</u></p> <p>m) <u>Able to demonstrate there is a proven need for the floorspace proposed.'</u></p>		<p>Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria. The location of potential storage and distribution proposals is also uncertain.</p> <p>The modifications will further add to the predicted positive impacts against objectives 11, 12 and 13 (Sustainable, prosperous economy).</p> <p>Overall, the modified policy continues to have positive impact on the economic SA objectives due to its general support for economic development in the borough. Potential impacts on environmental objectives will be mitigated by the policy criteria and other policies in the plan.</p>
PM195	New paras after 7.11	118	<p><u>'The ELR suggests that the existing Strategic Employment Areas are unlikely to be able to accommodate all of the borough's requirements for new storage and distribution floorspace over the Plan period. Therefore, the council will make</u></p>	<p>Updated supporting text providing an explanation for the new policy text in EP1.</p>	<p>This modified policy is subject to SA (inc. SEA) in appendix 2.</p> <p>The modifications to the</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<p><u>provision for additional storage and distribution floorspace through a subsequent DPD. Planning applications for additional storage and distribution floorspace, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, will be assessed against the requirements set out in policy EP1, as well as all other relevant policies.</u></p> <p><u>The stipulations set out in the policy respond to the specific planning issues associated with the provision of storage and distribution facilities.</u></p> <p><u>The nature of modern storage and distribution facilities are generally likely to result in some adverse impacts on local landscape character and visual amenity. It will be important to locate any built form within parts of the site that will minimise these impacts. The sympathetic design of built form, layout and materials used will also assist in minimising impacts on the local area. The size and scale of modern storage and distribution facilities will also generally require extensive soft landscaping/green infrastructure in order to minimise their impact on the character of the area. Accordingly, it is important to ensure that such sites allow sufficient space to provide for a suitable landscape scheme in accordance with the council's relevant policies (including EM1 - Landscape and EM10 - Delivering High Quality Development). Storage and distribution facilities can be difficult to reconcile with neighbouring uses, particularly residential development, owing to issues such as hours of operations.</u></p>		<p>policy introduce some potential negative impacts against objectives 4 and 5 (biodiversity and landscape), 6 and 7 (water environment) and 18 (open space and recreation). This is due to the modification which permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria. The location of potential storage and distribution proposals is also uncertain.</p> <p>The modifications will further add to the predicted positive impacts against objectives 11, 12 and 13 (Sustainable, prosperous economy).</p> <p>Overall, the modified policy continues to have positive impact on the economic SA objectives due to its general</p>

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
			<u>Therefore, such sites should be provided in a manner which successfully minimises impacts on neighbouring uses. Evidence demonstrates that such sites need to be high quality (ideally grade A space) in order to meet commercial requirements. Such sites rely on good access to the strategic road network (principally the M3 corridor) and need to be easily accessible for Heavy Goods Vehicles (HGVs).'</u>		support for economic development in the borough. Potential impacts on environmental objectives will be mitigated by the policy criteria and other policies in the plan.
PM111	7.22	123	'The 2015 Retail Capacity Refresh 2012 Retail Assessment Update estimated that the retail capacity for new (convenience and comparison goods) retail floorspace in the borough over the plan period would be approximately 30,000 <u>28,000</u> square metres, the majority of capacity being in comparison goods. The growth in convenience goods floorspace is estimated to be low, at less than 4,000 <u>3,000</u> square metres...'	Updated supporting text to refer to most recent retail study and the updated floorspace requirements within it	The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for the policy EP3 against SA objectives 12, 13 and 15 (sustainable, prosperous economy). This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.
PM197	Policy EP4 (new criterion after d))	126	<u>'Are for a small-scale new business, provided it is not in an isolated location.'</u>	New policy criteria added in order to provide more support for the rural economy, in accordance with the Government's drive to support the rural economy.	The SA assessment has already predicted significant positive impacts for the policy EP4 against SA objective 11, 12 and 13 (sustainable, prosperous economy) and 17 (sustaining vibrant communities). This modification will not

Change Reference	Paragraph or Policy in Submission Local Plan	Page in Submission Local Plan	Description of change	Explanation of change	SA/ SEA implication
					alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.

Changes to the Policies Map

PM210	Inset No 1 – Basingstoke Town Area East and Chineham	Change to boundary of Proposed Site Allocation at Cufaude Lane (SS3.8)	Extend the boundary of SS3.8 – Upper Cufaude Farm to include land to the north within the allocation. This is for landscape and appropriate open space measures only, to ensure sufficient mitigation of the development on the surrounding area. Additional hatching added to this additional area to correspond with the proposed new criteria.	The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for policy SS3.8 against SA objective 5 (biodiversity and landscape). Potential for further positive effects.
PM229	Inset No 1 – Basingstoke Town Area East and Chineham	Change to boundary of proposed site allocation at Redlands (SS3.7)	Extend the boundary to include Redlands House within the allocation, in line with discussions at the hearings. This increases the yield of the site to approximately 165 units.	A sustainability appraisal of SHLAA site SOL002 (Redlands) is included within Appendix 14 of the SA Report (April 2015). This appraisal has been revisited in light of the inclusion of Redlands House within the allocation boundary of Redlands (SS3.7). This concluded that the modification will not alter the impacts of the site against the SA objectives. The SA assessment has already predicted positive impacts for policy

				SS3.7 against SA objective 5 (biodiversity and landscape). This modification will not alter the impacts of the policy against the SA objectives. There is no SA implication.
--	--	--	--	--

Appendix 2: Sustainability Appraisal (incorporating Strategic Environmental Assessment) of new policy SD1 (Presumption in favour of sustainable development) and modified policy EP1 (Economic growth and investment)

++	Significant positive impact
+	Positive impact
0	Neutral/negligible impact
-	Negative impact
--	Significant negative impact
?	Unknown impact

Policy SD1 – Presumption in favour of sustainable development

SA Objective	SA Appraisal Criteria (to take into account/guide appraisal)	Impact (positive/ negative/ neutral) and discussion (if effects quantifiable give details e.g. Co2 savings exceed national standards by 10%) If impact negligible no need to fill in last 2 columns.	Commentary on assessment: likelihood/certainty, spatial scale (incl cross-boundary), timescale (short/medium/long term), Duration (temporary/permanent), Frequency (frequent/rare)	Possible changes to plan/mitigation (e.g. need to change option, other policies needed to ensure mitigation etc.)
1) To provide all residents with the opportunity to live in a sustainable, decent, affordable home.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it increase housing supply? • Will it increase the range of housing to meet all needs? • Will it increase the affordability of housing? • Will it help to reduce the no. of homeless? • Will it reduce the no. of empty properties? • Will it produce dwellings of sustainable design and construction? • Will it ensure that appropriate infrastructure is in place to serve 	<p>+</p> <p>This policy is considered to have a positive impact on the increase in housing supply up to 2029 and could supply a variety of types and sizes of dwelling to meet a range of housing needs.</p> <p>It is considered that the policy will be a positive effect in terms of delivering sustainable communities and improving social cohesion in the longer term.</p>	<p>Likelihood: certain Scale: local Timescale: short-long term Duration: temporary Significance: significant</p>	

	<p>the additional dwellings?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it produce sustainable communities? • Will it help new households access market housing? • Will it reduce the number in need on the housing register? • Will it enable social inclusion through meeting the needs of groups with specific housing needs? • Will it meet the housing needs of the ageing population? • Will it meet the housing needs of gypsies and travellers? • Will it integrate with the employment needs of the Borough? 			
<p>2) To reduce deprivation and inequalities in quality of life between residents and neighbourhoods</p>	<p>Will it encourage social cohesion?</p> <p>Will it reduce overcrowding?</p> <p>Will it reduce deprivation in the 7 most deprived areas of the Borough (within the wards of Buckskin, Norden, Popley East and South Ham)?</p> <p>Will it discourage anti-social behaviour?</p> <p>Will it reduce crime?</p> <p>Will it provide better opportunities to improve</p>	0		

	skills/achievements/employment, particularly within the most deprived wards of the Borough?			
3) To reduce and minimise emissions of greenhouse gases and manage the impact of climate change	Will it reduce and/or minimise greenhouse gas emissions and help to meet international, national and regional emissions targets? Does it incorporate and/or promote the use of renewable energy technologies? Will it mitigate the effects of climate change?	0		
(4) To protect, and enhance local biodiversity, flora and fauna	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect and enhance sites and habitats, both designated and undesignated, of nature conservation value? • Will it ensure the protection of protected species? • Will it contribute towards protecting and enhancing national and county Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) species and their habitats? 	0		This is dealt with through another Local Plan policy
(5) To protect and enhance the distinctiveness and quality of the local landscape, soils, geological and geomorphologic interests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect areas of highest landscape value? • Will it protect and enhance the Borough's landscape character and diversity? • Will it avoid damage to geological and geomorphologic interests? • Will it maintain and enhance the quality of the Borough's soils? • Will it retain the Borough's best and most versatile agricultural land? 	0		This is dealt with through another Local Plan policy
6) To maintain and improve the quality of	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it maintain or improve the 	0		This is dealt with through another Local Plan policy

water resources in the Borough	water quality of controlled waters including rivers, streams, ditches, ponds, lakes and groundwater?			
7) To reduce the risk of flooding and the resulting detriment to the local community, environment and economy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce the vulnerability to all types of flooding? • Will it encourage a net reduction in the total number of dwellings built in areas of medium and high flood-risk? • Will it increase the use of Sustainable Urban Drainage systems within developments? • Will there be adequate provision of supporting water and sewerage infrastructure to support development? 	0		This is dealt with through another Local Plan policy
8) To improve sustainable accessibility to all services and facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve access to community facilities and services, particularly within rural areas? • Will it improve the self-containment of Basingstoke Town? • Will it reduce congestion? • Will it reduce barriers to social inclusion? • Does it include provision for extending remote access? • Will it improve access to broadband? 	0		
9) To improve choice and access to sustainable transport options	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it minimise the need to travel? • Will it provide alternative means of 	0		

	<p>travel to the private car?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce private vehicular use? • Will it encourage the use of public transport? 			
<p>10) To improve educational attainment and increase opportunities for new skills and learning to support the economic competitiveness of the Borough, and personal development.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it increase the levels of participation in education at all levels? • Will it increase the levels of attainment in education at all levels? • Will it improve the qualifications or skills of the population? • Will it improve the educational performance of the Borough at secondary school level? • Will it provide higher level education (graduate/highly skilled)? • Will it enable learning and development to meet the skills requirements of employers within the Borough? • Will it help to develop lifelong skills? • Will it reduce long-term unemployment? 	0		
<p>11) To develop a diverse and competitive knowledge-based economy</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it promote employment opportunities for the whole community? • Will it promote a dynamic, diverse and knowledge-based economy? 	<p>+</p> <p>Policy will help maintain a sufficient supply of employment land to meet future needs.</p>	<p>Likelihood: likely Scale: Borough wide Timescale: Medium/long term Duration: Frequency: uncertain Significance: not likely to be significant</p>	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage business start-up and business growth? • Will it maintain or provide a sufficient supply of employment land to meet the needs of existing businesses and attract inward investment? 			
12) To maintain high and stable levels of employment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it promote employment opportunities for the whole community? • Will it promote a dynamic, diverse and knowledge-based economy? • Will it encourage business start-up and business growth? • Will it maintain or provide a sufficient supply of employment land to meet the needs of existing businesses and attract inward investment? 	<p>+</p> <p>Policy will help maintain a sufficient supply of employment land to meet future needs.</p>	<p>Likelihood: likely Scale: Borough wide Timescale: Medium/long term Duration: Frequency: uncertain Significance: not likely to be significant</p>	
13) To maintain and enhance sustainable economic growth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it integrate with the housing needs of the Borough? • Does any economic growth accord with the principles of sustainable development? 	<p>+</p> <p>Policy will help maintain a sufficient supply of employment land to meet future needs.</p> <p>The policy will help to deliver sustainable economic development in the borough over the plan period.</p>	<p>Likelihood: likely Scale: Borough wide Timescale: Medium/long term Duration: Frequency: uncertain Significance: not likely to be significant</p>	
14) To enhance the attractiveness of the Borough to visitors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it retain or improve retail facilities in the Borough? • Will it improve the image of the Borough to attract inward investment and tourism? 	0		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it meet the needs of visitors to the Borough 			
15) To protect and enhance distinctive and high quality features of the local built environment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it promote and maintain an attractive and diverse townscape, and protect areas of highest townscape quality? • Will it promote high quality design and enhance the built environment? • Does it value and protect the locally distinctive settlement character? • Will it safeguard sites and monuments of archaeological importance? • Will it preserve and enhance buildings of historic or architectural interest? • Will it avoid damage or degradation to designated areas (Conservation Areas, Historic Parks and Gardens)? • Will it protect the Borough's historic and cultural heritage? 	0		This is dealt with through another Local Plan policy
16) To improve the efficiency of resource use, including re-using previously developed land, existing buildings and materials, and encouraging the maximum use of sustainable design and construction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it use water more efficiently? • Will it reduce water consumption? • Will it result in a reduction in the amount of waste requiring treatment and disposal? • Will it improve energy efficiency? • Will it enable development to re- 	0		This is dealt with through another Local Plan policy

technologies	<p>use brownfield land?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it entail the conversion of existing buildings? • Will it seek to support sustainable design and construction techniques? • Will it reduce the quantity of land contamination or land affected by contamination? • Will it reduce the Borough's carbon footprint? • Will it provide energy from renewable sources? • Will it reduce the amount of waste? • Will it increase recycling rates? • Will it minimise use of construction materials e.g. aggregates? • Will it minimise land use? 			
(17) To create and sustain vibrant settlements and communities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it direct new development towards those settlements best able to accommodate it? • Will it promote the viability and vitality of the Borough's town, district, local and village centres? • Will it avoid excessive intensification in built-up areas? • Will it avoid loss of open space? • Will it avoid the disruption of locally distinctive settlement form? • Will it support the provision and 	<p>+/-</p> <p>Policy may prevent isolated homes in the countryside and therefore direct new homes to nearby existing communities. It allows development outside of the existing built up areas which will assist in avoiding excessive intensification of the built up areas.</p> <p>Policy will help to meet identified housing (and other) needs, including in some of the smaller settlements. However, development brought forward through Neighbourhood Planning could result in dispersed</p>	<p>Likelihood: likely Scale: Local Timescale: Medium/long term Duration: uncertain Frequency: uncertain Significance: not likely to be significant</p>	

	<p>retention of key facilities and services ensuring that local needs are met locally wherever possible?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it, reflecting the objectives of the SCI, improve the engagement of local communities in the local planning system? • Will it help create a sense of place and add to the distinctiveness of the Borough's settlements? 	development which may not contribute to vibrant communities.		
18) To promote and improve access to open space and countryside	<p>Will it maintain or improve public access to open space and the countryside? Will it improve the quality of the Borough's open space? Will it contribute towards meeting the sport and recreational needs of all in the community? Will it encourage participation in leisure and cultural events?</p>	0		This is dealt with through another Local Plan policy
19) To improve community safety by reducing crime, the fear of crime, and antisocial behaviour	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce opportunities for crime (e.g through design and layout)? • Will it reduce antisocial behaviour? • Will it reduce the fear of crime? 	0		
20) To improve health and well-being through the development of healthy communities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve access to health and social care? • Will it promote healthy lifestyles? • Will it provide/improve healthcare facilities to meet the needs of older people? • Will it help to develop healthy and 'liveable' neighbourhoods 	0		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve air quality? • Will it decrease noise pollution near residential areas? 			
--	--	--	--	--

Conclusion

The policy has a positive impact on the increase in housing supply (objective 1). The policy also performs well against the economic objectives 11, 12 and 13 as it will help maintain a sufficient supply of employment land to meet future needs. However, because of the amount of new housing proposed and the location including greenfield sites, there are some unknown and potentially negative impacts on the environmental SA objectives particularly those relating to the landscape, soil and biodiversity. The policy performs poorly against objectives 3 and 16 due to the impact on greenfield sites and increased greenhouse gas emissions. However, housing has a positive impact on the economy as housing supports economic growth. The policy scores a positive/ negative against objective 17 ((sustaining vibrant communities) as it may prevent isolated homes in the countryside, however, development brought forward through Neighbourhood Planning could result in dispersed development which may not contribute to vibrant communities.

Overall, the inclusion of the model policy will provide a way to ensure a clear commitment to sustainable development in the Plan. The policy takes account of the guidance within the NPPF concerning the need to consider applications according with the development plan promptly and where the plan is silent or out of date to also approve them unless they conflict with the approach of the NPPF (paragraph 14).

Policy EP1 – Economic Growth and Investment

SA Objective	SA Appraisal Criteria <i>(to take into account/guide appraisal)</i>	Impact (positive/ negative/ neutral) and discussion <i>(if effects quantifiable give details e.g. Co2 savings exceed national standards by 10%) If impact negligible no need to fill in last 2 columns.</i>	Commentary on assessment: <i>likelihood/certainty, spatial scale (incl cross-boundary), timescale (short/medium/long term), Duration (temporary/permanent), Frequency (frequent/rare). Elaborate here if necessary e.g. certainty of something happening may depend on another policy/detailed design etc.</i>	Possible changes to plan/mitigation <i>(e.g. need to change option, other policies needed to ensure mitigation etc.)</i>
<p>1) To provide all residents with the opportunity to live in a sustainable, decent, affordable home.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it increase housing supply? • Will it increase the range of housing to meet all needs? • Will it increase the affordability of housing? • Will it help to reduce the no. of homeless? • Will it reduce the no. of empty properties? • Will it produce dwellings of sustainable design and construction? • Will it ensure that appropriate infrastructure is in place to serve the additional dwellings? • Will it produce sustainable communities? • Will it help new households access market housing? 	<p>0 This policy sets out a high level policy for enabling business to expand and to attract inward investment into the borough. The policy seeks to concentrate employment development within existing employment areas that are accessible from existing residential areas, but also requires the allocation of a new employment site / sites through a subsequent Development Plan Document. <u>The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria.</u></p>	<p>Likelihood: uncertain Scale: borough wide Timescale: short-long term Duration: permanent Frequency: uncertain but likely to be fairly infrequent Significance: fairly insignificant</p>	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce the number in need on the housing register? • Will it enable social inclusion through meeting the needs of groups with specific housing needs? • Will it meet the housing needs of the ageing population? • Will it meet the housing needs of gypsies and travellers? • Will it integrate with the employment needs of the Borough? 			
<p>2) To reduce deprivation and inequalities in quality of life between residents and neighbourhoods</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage social cohesion? • Will it reduce overcrowding? • Will it reduce deprivation in the 7 most deprived areas of the Borough (within the wards of Buckskin, Norden, Popley East and South Ham)? • Will it discourage anti-social behaviour? • Will it reduce crime? • Will it provide better opportunities to improve skills/achievements/employment, 	<p>0 This policy does not address deprivation specifically but by concentrating employment development at the strategic employment sites that are accessible from residential locations. The policy also requires the allocation of a new employment site(s) through a future DPD and provides flexibility to enable employment uses at two of the plan larger housing allocation sites.</p>		

	particularly within the most deprived wards of the Borough?			
3) To reduce and minimise emissions of greenhouse gases and manage the impact of climate change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce and/or minimise greenhouse gas emissions and help to meet international, national and regional emissions targets? • Does it incorporate and/or promote the use of renewable energy technologies? • Will it mitigate the effects of climate change? 	<p>0/?</p> <p>The policy makes no reference to minimising greenhouse gases or managing climate change. Although the policy directs employment development to strategic employment areas, which are in accessible locations in the borough. However, new employment at these established sites or future allocation site(s) may increase greenhouse gas emissions.</p> <p><u>The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria. The impact of this is uncertain as it will depend on the specific site being developed and its type and nature.</u></p>	<p>Likelihood: uncertain Scale: local Timescale: medium-long term Duration: permanent Frequency: uncertain Significance: uncertain but unlikely to be significant</p>	This will be addressed by other Local Plan climate change policies
4) To protect, and enhance local biodiversity, flora and fauna	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect and enhance sites and habitats, both designated and undesignated, of nature conservation value? • Will it ensure the protection of protected species? • Will it contribute towards protecting and enhancing national and county Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) species and their habitats? 	<p>0/?</p> <p>The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. However, by directing employment development to the established strategic sites there are likely to be negligible biodiversity impacts.</p> <p>The future Development Plan Document that would allocate new employment site(s) would be supported by a range of evidence, including a detailed site assessments (which consider landscape issues amongst others)</p> <p>It is therefore unlikely that the policy would significantly affect biodiversity</p>	<p>Likelihood: uncertain Scale: local Timescale: medium-long term Duration: potentially permanent Frequency: uncertain Significance: not likely to be significant</p>	Any proposal would be subject to the requirements of the Local Plan biodiversity policy which will ensure protection

		<p>interests.</p> <p><u>The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria. The impact of this is uncertain as it will depend on the specific site being developed and its type and nature.</u></p>		
<p>(5) To protect and enhance the distinctiveness and quality of the local landscape, soils, geological and geomorphologic interests</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect areas of highest landscape value? • Will it protect and enhance the Borough's landscape character and diversity? • Will it avoid damage to geological and geomorphologic interests? • Will it maintain and enhance the quality of the Borough's soils? • Will it retain the Borough's best and most versatile agricultural land? 	<p><u>0/?</u></p> <p>The policy makes no reference to landscape, soils etc. However, by directing employment development to the established strategic sites there are likely to be negligible landscape impacts.</p> <p>The future Development Plan Document that would allocate new employment site(s) would be supported by a range of evidence, including a detailed site assessments (which consider landscape, soils etc. amongst other issues)</p> <p><u>The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria including in respect of landscape impact. The impact of this is uncertain as it will depend on the specific site being developed and its type and nature.</u></p> <p>It is therefore unlikely that the policy would significantly affect biodiversity interests.</p>	<p>Likelihood: uncertain Scale: local Timescale: medium-long term Duration: permanent Frequency: uncertain Significance: uncertain</p>	<p>Any proposal would be subject to the requirements of the Local Plan landscape policy which will ensure protection</p>

<p>6) To maintain and improve the quality of water resources in the Borough</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it maintain or improve the water quality of controlled waters including rivers, streams, ditches, ponds, lakes and groundwater? 	<p>0/?</p> <p>The policy makes no reference to water quality. However, by directing employment development to the established strategic sites there are likely to be negligible water quality impacts.</p> <p>The future Development Plan Document that would allocate new employment site(s) would be supported by a range of evidence, including a detailed site assessments (which would consider water quality amongst other issues).</p> <p><u>The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria. The impact of this is uncertain as it will depend on the specific site being developed and its type and nature.</u></p> <p>It is therefore unlikely that the policy would significantly affect water quality.</p>		<p><u>Any proposal would be subject to the requirements of the Local Plan water quality policy.</u></p>
<p>7) To reduce the risk of flooding and the resulting detriment to the local community, environment and economy</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce the vulnerability to all types of flooding? • Will it encourage a net reduction in the total number of dwellings built in areas of medium and high flood-risk? • Will it increase the use of Sustainable Urban Drainage systems within developments? 	<p>0/?</p> <p>The policy makes no reference to flooding. However, by directing employment development to the established strategic sites there are likely to be flooding impacts.</p> <p>The future Development Plan Document that would allocate new employment site(s) would be supported by a range of evidence, including a detailed site assessments (which would consider flood risk amongst other issues).</p>	<p>Likelihood: uncertain Scale: local Timescale: medium-long term Duration: permanent Frequency: uncertain Significance: uncertain</p>	<p><u>Any proposal would be subject to the requirements of the Local Plan flood risk policy.</u></p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will there be adequate provision of supporting water and sewerage infrastructure to support development? 	<p><u>The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria. The impact of this is uncertain as it will depend on the specific site being developed and its type and nature.</u></p> <p>It is therefore unlikely that the policy would significantly affect flood risk.</p>		
<p>8) To improve sustainable accessibility to all services and facilities</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve access to community facilities and services, particularly within rural areas? • Will it improve the self-containment of Basingstoke Town? • Will it reduce congestion? • Will it reduce barriers to social inclusion? • Does it include provision for extending remote access? • Will it improve access to broadband? 	<p>+ By sequentially directing employment development to the established strategic employment areas and sites which are in the larger, more accessible settlements, this policy should be positively compatible with this objective. It should also improve the self-containment of Basingstoke Town.</p> <p>The future Development Plan Document that would allocate new employment site(s) would enable the economy to grow and will improve the self-containment of the town as the population grows and potentially reduce out-commuting.</p> <p><u>The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria including in respect of access and highways impact. The impact of this is uncertain as it will depend on the specific site being</u></p>	<p>Likelihood: likely Scale: borough-wide Timescale: short-long term Duration: fairly permanent Frequency: frequent Significance: not a significant improvement</p>	

		<u>developed and its type and nature.</u>		
9) To improve choice and access to sustainable transport options	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it minimise the need to travel? • Will it provide alternative means of travel to the private car? • Will it reduce private vehicular use? • Will it encourage the use of public transport? 	<p>+ By sequentially directing employment development to the established strategic employment areas and sites which are in the larger, more accessible settlements with better access to public transport, this policy should be positively compatible with this objective.</p> <p>The future Development Plan Document that would allocate new employment site(s) would be supported by a range of evidence, including detailed site assessments (which would consider local transport choices and general accessibility of the site(s)).</p> <p><u>The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria including in respect of access and highways impact. The impact of this is uncertain as it will depend on the specific site being developed and its type and nature.</u></p>	<p>Likelihood: likely Scale: borough-wide Timescale: short-long term Duration: fairly permanent Frequency: frequent Significance: not likely to be a significant improvement</p>	
10) To improve educational attainment and increase opportunities for new skills and learning to support the economic competitiveness of the Borough, and personal development.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it increase the levels of participation in education at all levels? • Will it increase the levels of attainment in education at all levels? • Will it improve the qualifications or skills of the population? 	<p>0 This policy is unlikely to have much impact on educational attainment and participation.</p>		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve the educational performance of the Borough at secondary school level? • Will it provide higher level education (graduate/highly skilled)? • Will it enable learning and development to meet the skills requirements of employers within the Borough? • Will it help to develop lifelong skills? • Will it reduce long-term unemployment? 			
<p>11) To develop a diverse and competitive knowledge-based economy</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it promote employment opportunities for the whole community? • Will it promote a dynamic, diverse and knowledge-based economy? • Will it encourage business start-up and business growth? • Will it maintain or provide a sufficient supply of employment land to meet the needs of existing businesses and attract inward investment? 	<p>++ This policy identifies the three key employment sectors that the council would seek to develop, including specialist / advanced manufacturing which forms part of the knowledge based economy. The borough has a diverse business base requiring a range of accommodation types including offices and industrial premises (including storage and distribution floorspace) and the policy seeks to maintain this balance by supporting a range of sectors and allocating a new employment site or sites to meet the needs of the industrial sector.</p> <p><u>Over the plan period the borough will require significant (122,000 sqm) storage and distribution floorspace to meet future needs. The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas,</u></p>	<p>Likelihood: certain Scale: borough-wide Timescale: medium-long term Duration: permanent Frequency: fairly frequent Significance: fairly significant</p>	

		<p><u>which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria.</u></p> <p>The policy will maintain a sufficient supply of employment land to meet future needs.</p>		
12) To maintain high and stable levels of employment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it promote employment opportunities for the whole community? • Will it promote a dynamic, diverse and knowledge-based economy? • Will it encourage business start-up and business growth? • Will it maintain or provide a sufficient supply of employment land to meet the needs of existing businesses and attract inward investment? 	<p>++</p> <p>The policy supports employment development in the borough across a range of key employment sectors including specialist / advanced manufacturing which will contribute towards developing a knowledge based economy in the borough.</p> <p>The policy will aim to support the creation of at least 450-700 jobs per annum.</p> <p>The policy will enable the future allocation of new employment site(s) which will encourage business formation and business growth.</p> <p><u>Over the plan period the borough will require significant (122,000 sqm) storage and distribution floorspace to meet future needs. The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria.</u></p> <p>The policy will maintain a sufficient supply of employment land to meet future needs.</p>	<p>Likelihood: certain Scale: borough-wide Timescale: medium-long term Duration: permanent Frequency: frequent Significance: significant</p>	
13) To maintain and enhance sustainable economic growth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it integrate with the housing needs of the Borough? • Does any economic growth accord 	<p>++</p> <p>The policy supports employment development in the borough across a range of key employment sectors</p>	<p>Likelihood: likely Scale: borough-wide Timescale: medium-long term Duration: permanent</p>	

	with the principles of sustainable development?	<p>which will maintain the borough's balanced economy that provides employment for people with different skill levels.</p> <p>The policy will aim to support the creation of at least 450-700 jobs per annum.</p> <p><u>Over the plan period the borough will require significant (122,000 sqm) storage and distribution floorspace to meet future needs. The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria.</u></p> <p>The policy seeks to deliver sustainable economic development in the borough over the plan period.</p>	<p>Frequency: frequent Significance: significant</p>	
14) To enhance the attractiveness of the Borough to visitors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it retain or improve retail facilities in the Borough? • Will it improve the image of the Borough to attract inward investment and tourism? • Will it meet the needs of visitors to the Borough 	<p>+ This policy may help to boost inward investment into the borough, and therefore more business visitors may come to the borough.</p>	<p>Likelihood: uncertain Scale: local Timescale: medium-long term Duration: uncertain Frequency: uncertain Significance: uncertain</p>	
15) To protect and enhance distinctive and high quality features of the local built environment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it promote and maintain an attractive and diverse townscape, and protect areas of highest townscape quality? • Will it promote high quality design and enhance the built environment? 	<p>? The policy makes no reference to the design of new; it is therefore difficult to judge whether such development would enhance the built environment.</p> <p><u>The impact of this policy on this objective is uncertain as it will depend on the sites being developed and the</u></p>	<p>Likelihood: uncertain Scale: local Timescale: medium to long term Duration: permanent Frequency: frequent Significance: uncertain but unlikely to be significant</p>	The Local Plan design policy will ensure high quality design of employment buildings

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does it value and protect the locally distinctive settlement character? • Will it safeguard sites and monuments of archaeological importance? • Will it preserve and enhance buildings of historic or architectural interest? • Will it avoid damage or degradation to designated areas (Conservation Areas, Historic Parks and Gardens)? • Will it protect the Borough's historic and cultural heritage? 	<p><u>type and design of any development.</u></p> <p><u>The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria including in respect of impact on the character of nearby settlements.</u></p>		
<p>16) To improve the efficiency of resource use, including re-using previously developed land, existing buildings and materials, and encouraging the maximum use of sustainable design and construction technologies</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it use water more efficiently? • Will it reduce water consumption? • Will it result in a reduction in the amount of waste requiring treatment and disposal? • Will it improve energy efficiency? • Will it enable development to re-use brownfield land? • Will it entail the conversion of existing buildings? • Will it seek to support sustainable design and construction techniques? • Will it reduce the quantity of land contamination or land affected by contamination? 	<p>+</p> <p>By sequentially directing employment development to existing Employment areas and sites, this policy encourages the re-use of previously developed land. However, it does not refer to the sustainability of employment development.</p> <p>The policy directs employment development to the established strategic sites which encourages the re-use of previously developed land.</p> <p>However, a future Development Plan Document that would allocate new employment site(s) would be supported by a range of evidence, including a detailed site assessments (which would consider whether the site is previously developed land amongst other issues).</p>	<p>Likelihood: uncertain Scale: borough-wide Timescale: medium-long term Duration: permanent Frequency: frequent Significance: uncertain</p>	<p><u>The Local Plan design policy will ensure high quality design of employment buildings.</u></p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce the Borough's carbon footprint? • Will it provide energy from renewable sources? • Will it reduce the amount of waste? • Will it increase recycling rates? • Will it minimise use of construction materials e.g. aggregates? • Will it minimise land use? 	<p><u>The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria.</u></p> <p>The policy does not refer to the sustainability of employment development.</p>		
<p>(17) To create and sustain vibrant settlements and communities</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it direct new development towards those settlements best able to accommodate it? • Will it promote the viability and vitality of the Borough's town, district, local and village centres? • Will it avoid excessive intensification in built-up areas? • Will it avoid loss of open space? • Will it avoid the disruption of locally distinctive settlement form? • Will it support the provision and retention of key facilities and services ensuring that local needs are met locally wherever possible? • Will it, reflecting the objectives of the SCI, improve the engagement of local communities in the local planning system? • Will it help create a sense of place 	<p>+ This policy protects the strategic employment sites for employment uses and enabling the regeneration / redevelopment of this sites which in turn will promote the viability and vitality of the Borough's town, district, local and village centres.</p> <p>However, a future Development Plan Document that would allocate new employment site(s) would be supported by a range of evidence. At this stage there is no certainty where this site(s) could be located however it is likely that the site(s) will be located in a settlement that can both accommodate it and meet the needs of future occupiers.</p> <p><u>The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria.</u></p>	<p>Likelihood: likely Scale: borough-wide Timescale: medium/long term Duration: permanent Frequency: frequent Significance: not significant</p>	

	and add to the distinctiveness of the Borough's settlements?			
18) To promote and improve access to open space and countryside	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it maintain or improve public access to open space and the countryside? • Will it improve the quality of the Borough's open space? • Will it contribute towards meeting the sport and recreational needs of all in the community? • Will it encourage participation in leisure and cultural events? 	<p>0/- This policy is unlikely to impact on access to open space and the countryside as it primarily refers to protecting the established strategic employment areas.</p> <p>However, a future Development Plan Document that would allocate new employment site(s) would be supported by a range of evidence and consider the impacts upon open space and the countryside.</p> <p><u>The policy permits development proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria. The council's Employment land Review suggests that the existing Strategic Employment Areas are unlikely to be able to accommodate all of the borough's requirements for new storage and distribution floorspace over the plan period. The location of potential proposals could impact on this objective.</u></p>		Any proposal would be subject to the requirements of the Local Plan green infrastructure policy.
19) To improve community safety by reducing crime, the fear of crime, and antisocial behaviour	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce opportunities for crime (e.g through design and layout)? 	<p>0 This policy is unlikely to impact on crime and antisocial behaviour.</p>		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce antisocial behaviour? • Will it reduce the fear of crime? 			
20) To improve health and well-being through the development of healthy communities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve access to health and social care? • Will it promote healthy lifestyles? • Will it provide/improve healthcare facilities to meet the needs of older people? • Will it help to develop healthy and 'liveable' neighbourhoods • Will it improve air quality? • Will it decrease noise pollution near residential areas? 	0 This policy is likely to have a negligible impact on health and well-being.		

Conclusion

The policy clearly has a significantly positive impact on the economic SA objectives (objectives 11-13) due to its general support for economic development in the borough, supporting the creation of at least 450-700 jobs and maintaining a diverse economy. However, the impact on many of the environmental and social objectives is uncertain, largely because the policy doesn't identify the likely locations of future employment allocations (to be determined through a future Development Plan Document) and also development proposals for storage and distribution which may come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD. In addition, the policy doesn't require the economic development to be sustainable in terms of resource use, ~~impact on the local built environment etc.~~ impact on biodiversity, climate change and water quality etc. Proposals for storage and distribution floorspace, outside of the existing Strategic Employment Areas, which come forward in advance of a subsequent DPD, subject to meeting specific criteria could impact on the countryside. However, these should be addressed at a more detailed stage by specific environmental policies in the Local Plan.

Appendix 3 – Cumulative, synergistic and indirect effects of Submission Local Plan (updated Table 11 of the SA Report (April 2015))

Paragraph 10.1 of the SA Report (April 2015) states that ‘as required by the SEA Regulations, the cumulative, synergistic and indirect effects of the Local Plan have been considered and identified in Table 11’ of the SA Report (April 2015).

In light of some of the proposed modifications, amendments to table 11 are proposed – changes are shown via a strikethrough for text deleted or underlined and red text for new wording/ indicators.

Table 11 Cumulative, Synergistic and Indirect effects of Submission Local Plan Policies

SA Objective	Proposals which combine to bring cumulative/synergistic/indirect effects	Effects
1) To provide all residents with the opportunity to live in a sustainable, decent, affordable home.	<p><u>SD1 Presumption in favour of sustainable development</u></p> <p>SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS2 Regeneration SS7 Nuclear installations – Tadley and Burghfield SS6 New housing in the countryside SS8 Basing View</p> <p>CN1 Affordable Housing CN2 Rural Exceptions for Affordable housing CN3 Housing mix for market housing CN4 Housing for older people/ Specialist Housing CN5 Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople CN6 Infrastructure CN7 Essential Services CN8 Community, leisure and cultural facilities CN9 Transport</p> <p>EM5 Green Infrastructure EM6 Water Quality EM7 Managing Flood risk EM10 Delivering high quality development EM8 Delivering Renewable/Low Carbon Energy Development EM11 The Historic Environment EM9 Sustainable Water Use EM12 Pollution</p> <p>EP1 Economic Growth and Investment</p>	<p>With the exception of policy SS7, the spatial policies will positively contribute to the provision of sustainable decent and affordable housing by ensuring a sufficient housing land supply over the Plan period. This is supported by the generally positive contribution of the community policies, which ensure affordable housing is provided and new housing is supported by necessary infrastructure and services. Policy SS7, by restricting development in and around Tadley, will have a significantly negative impact on housing provision in this area over the Plan period, although it doesn't affect the housing number overall.</p> <p>There is some uncertainty regarding the impact of some of the environmental management policies, particularly EM6, EM11, and EM12 on this objective as they may impact on the location of new housing. However, other environmental management policies such as EM5, EM7, EM8, and EM12 will ensure the sustainability of new housing in the long term.</p> <p>There is also some uncertainty regarding the impact of the economic development policies but they are unlikely to negatively impact this objective.</p> <p>Policy CN5 addresses the needs of gypsies, travellers and travelling</p>

SA Objective	Proposals which combine to bring cumulative/synergistic/indirect effects	Effects
	EP4 Rural economy	showpeople. Policy CN5 addresses the needs of housing for older people and specialist accommodation.
2) To reduce deprivation and inequalities in quality of life between residents and neighbourhoods	<p>SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS2 Regeneration SS7 Nuclear installations – Tadley and Burghfield</p> <p>CN1 Affordable Housing CN2 Rural Exceptions for Affordable housing CN3 Housing mix for market housing CN4 Housing for older people/ Specialist Housing CN5 Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople CN6 Infrastructure CN7 Essential Services</p> <p>EM5 Green Infrastructure EM10 Delivering high quality development</p> <p>EP1 Economic Growth and Investment SS8 Basing View EP3 Town, District and Local Centres</p>	<p>With the exception of policy SS7, the spatial, economic and community policies will positively impact on deprivation and quality of life by seeking to ensure the provision of sufficient housing to meet needs, employment and infrastructure. The environmental management policies will ensure improved design and green infrastructure provision. Policy SS7 may negatively impact on this objective in the longer term by restricting new housing, including affordable housing which will impact on local housing need and provide reduced opportunities for new housing for Tadley residents. There is some uncertainty regarding policy EP1 as it is not specific in terms of sustainability and amenity impact, however, this should be addressed by other Local Plan policies.</p>
3) To reduce and minimise emissions of greenhouse gases and manage the impact of climate change	<p>SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS2 Regeneration</p> <p>CN4 Housing for older people/ Specialist Housing CN5 Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople CN9 Transport</p> <p>EM4 Biodiversity and Nature Conservation EM5 Green Infrastructure EM7 Managing Flood risk EM10 Delivering high quality development EM11 The Historic Environment EM8 Delivering Renewable/Low Carbon Energy Development</p>	<p>The impact of construction and occupation of new housing across the Borough as a result of the spatial policies is unlikely to reduce greenhouse gases although the environmental management policies and policy CN9 seek to ensure that such development is sustainable and minimises greenhouse gas emissions.</p> <p>Due to the uncertainty regarding the impact of neighbourhood planning (policy SS5) in terms of the location and nature of new housing this could potentially conflict with this objective.</p> <p>Employment development could also conflict with this policy although again, other environmental management policies seek to ensure greenhouse gas emissions</p>

SA Objective	Proposals which combine to bring cumulative/synergistic/indirect effects	Effects
	EM12 Pollution EM9 Sustainable Water Use EP1 Economic Growth and Investment SS8 Basing View EP3 Town, District and Local Centres EP4 Rural Economy	and climate change impact are minimised for all new development.
(4) To protect, and enhance local biodiversity, flora and fauna	SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning CN6 Infrastructure EM1 Landscape EM3 Thames Basin Heath Special Protection Area EM4 Biodiversity and Nature Conservation EM5 Green Infrastructure EM6 Water Quality EM8 Delivering Renewable/Low Carbon Energy Development SS8 Basing View <u>EP1 Economic Growth and Investment</u> EP4 Rural Economy	There are potential negative impacts on this objective as a result of the housing allocations <u>and policy EP1</u> and policy EM3 affecting the biodiversity of specific development sites, particularly in the short term, although this will be addressed through detailed site design and mitigation. Likewise, the policy supporting renewable energy development could impact on this objective depending on the nature of any development, but policies EM3 and EM4 will ensure minimum impact and mitigation on biodiversity. Many of the environmental management policies cumulatively and synergistically offer increase protection of biodiversity, particularly EM3, EM4 and EM6.
(5) To protect and enhance the distinctiveness and quality of the local landscape, soils, geological and geomorphologic interests	SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS6 – New Housing in the countryside CN2 Rural Exceptions for Affordable housing CN4 Housing for Older People/ Specialist Housing EP1 Economic Growth and Investment EP4 Rural Economy EM1 Landscape EM3 Thames Basin Heath Special Protection Area EM4 Biodiversity and Nature Conservation EM5 Green Infrastructure EM6 Water Quality EM8 Delivering Renewable/Low	The housing allocations are likely to negatively impact on the local landscape and soils, resulting in the loss of some good quality agricultural land. Cumulative landscape impacts have been considered through the sustainability appraisal of sites, spatial options and settlement options. Policies SS6 and SS5 could also potentially have a negative impact on this policy due to the uncertainty in location of new development in the countryside. However, the housing number and spatial strategy seek to minimise impact particularly on protected landscapes or those identified as having low capacity for development. Furthermore, the impact will be minimised, particularly in the longer term, through application of policy EM1 at a more detailed stage and through mitigation.

SA Objective	Proposals which combine to bring cumulative/synergistic/indirect effects	Effects
	Carbon Energy Development EM11 The Historic Environment EP1 Economic Growth and Investment	Many of the environmental management policies cumulatively and synergistically offer increased protection of the local landscape. There is some uncertainty regarding the impact of some of the economic and community policies.
6) To maintain and improve the quality of water resources in the Borough	SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning CN6 Infrastructure EM6 Water Quality EM7 Managing Flood risk EM9 Sustainable Water Use SS8 Basing View EP1 Economic Growth and Investment	Policies SS1, and SS3 and EP1 could potentially conflict with this policy through the need to supply and treat water from new housing. However policies SS4, CN6, EM6, and EM9 cumulatively seek to ensure that new development does not have a significant or indirect negative impact on the quality of water resources through monitoring, phasing housing delivery, the provision of water infrastructure and the design of new development.
7) To reduce the risk of flooding and the resulting detriment to the local community, environment and economy	SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning CN2 Rural Exceptions for Affordable Housing CN4 Housing for Older People/ Specialist Housing CN6 Infrastructure EM7 Managing Flood risk EM9 Sustainable Water Use SS8 Basing View EP1 Economic Growth and Investment EP4 Rural Economy	Although there may be potential flooding issues on some of the allocated sites, these can be resolved through design and siting and therefore will not result in significant negative impact. Cumulative impacts have been considered through sustainability appraisal of sites, spatial options and settlement options. Policies SS5, CN2, CN4, EP1 and EP4 allow exceptional development in unknown locations so could potentially affect flooding directly or indirectly, however policies SS4, CN6, EM7 and EM9 will individually or cumulatively ensure no significant adverse direct or indirect impacts.
8) To improve sustainable accessibility to all services and facilities	SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS2 Regenerating residential neighbourhoods SS7 Nuclear installations – Tadley and Burghfield SS6 New housing in the countryside CN1 Affordable Housing	Policies CN2, CN7, SS5, and EP4 seek to ensure that services and housing can be provided in rural locations where there is a need. Although this may improve local accessibility to services it may have negative sustainability implications if more private transport journeys will result. Policies SS1, SS3, SS4, and SS5 cumulatively and synergistically seek to direct development to

SA Objective	Proposals which combine to bring cumulative/synergistic/indirect effects	Effects
	<p>CN2 Rural Exceptions for Affordable Housing SS2 Regeneration CN4 Housing for Older People/ Specialist Housing CN4 Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople CN6 Infrastructure CN7 Essential Services CN8 Community, leisure and cultural facilities CN9 Transport</p> <p>EM10 Delivering high quality development</p> <p>EP1 Economic Growth and Investment SS8 Basing View EP3 Town, District and Local Centres EP4 Rural Economy</p>	<p>sustainable locations where there are services, facilities and sustainable transport options. These are particularly supported by policies CN6, CN7, CN8, CN9, and EM10 which ensure and enable the provision of necessary infrastructure and facilities.</p> <p>Policies EP1, SS8, EP4 all seek to direct economic development to sustainable locations that are accessible to residents by walking, cycling and public transport.</p> <p>Policy SS7 may negatively impact on this objective by restricting new development in and around Tadley.</p>
<p>9) To improve choice and access to sustainable transport options</p>	<p>SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS7 Regeneration SS7 Nuclear installations – Tadley and Burghfield SS6 New housing in the countryside</p> <p>CN2 Rural Exceptions for Affordable Housing CN4 Housing for Older People/ Specialist Housing CN5 Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople CN6 Infrastructure CN7 Essential Services CN8 Community, leisure and cultural facilities CN9 Transport</p> <p>EM3 Green Infrastructure EM9 Sustainable Water use</p> <p>EP1 Economic Growth and Investment EP2 Employment Land and Premises (B Use Classes) SS8 Basing View EP3 Town, District and Local Centres EP4 Rural Economy</p>	<p>Policies SS1, SS3, SS4, SS5 and SS7 cumulatively and synergistically seek to direct development to sustainable locations where there are sustainable transport options. These are particularly supported by policies CN6, CN7, CN8, and CN9 which ensure and enable the provision of necessary infrastructure and facilities.</p> <p>Although policies CN2, CN7, SS5, EP4 seek to ensure that services and housing can be provided in rural locations where there is a need and will be used by locals thereby reducing longer car journeys, they could have negative sustainability implications as a result of more private transport journeys.</p> <p>Policies EP1, EP2, SS8, EP3 all seek to direct economic development to sustainable locations that are accessible to residents by walking, cycling and public transport.</p> <p>Policy SS7 may negatively impact on this objective by restricting new development in and around Tadley.</p>
<p>10) To improve educational attainment and increase</p>	<p>SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations</p>	<p>The cumulative effects of site allocations on education provision has been considered in the site and</p>

SA Objective	Proposals which combine to bring cumulative/synergistic/indirect effects	Effects
<p>opportunities for new skills and learning to support the economic competitiveness of the Borough, and personal development.</p>	<p>SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning</p> <p>CN5 Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople CN6 Infrastructure CN7 Essential Services CN8 Community, leisure and cultural facilities</p>	<p>settlement appraisal work. Provision is made for reserve school sites where required by new development, including the cumulative impact of development sites. Policy CN6 will ensure mitigation of cumulative impact through financial contributions towards new/extended facilities with potential synergistic benefits.</p> <p>Policy SS6 provides some uncertainty regarding education facilities for new development but policy CN6 will ensure essential facilities are provided.</p> <p>Policies CN6 and CN7 together ensure that necessary education facilities are provided through the Plan period. This is supplemented by policy CN8 which could provide educational facilities.</p>
<p>11) To develop a diverse and competitive knowledge-based economy</p>	<p><u>SD1 Presumption in favour of sustainable development</u> SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS7 Nuclear installations – Tadley and Burghfield</p> <p>CN6 Infrastructure EP1 Economic Growth and Investment SS8 Basing View EP3 Town, District and Local Centres EP4 Rural Economy</p>	<p>Policies EP1, SS8, EP3, and EP4 are cumulatively supportive of economic development, and these are supported in principle by policies SS1, SS3, SS4 and SS5 which enable new housing development to support the economy, in locations which are in commuting distance of employment opportunities.</p> <p>Policy CN6 directly and indirectly enables infrastructure which is supportive of this objective. Policy SS7, by being restrictive of new development in and around Tadley, may negatively impact on this development locally.</p>
<p>12) To maintain high and stable levels of employment</p>	<p><u>SD1 Presumption in favour of sustainable development</u> SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS7 Nuclear installations – Tadley and Burghfield</p> <p>CN2 Rural Exceptions for Affordable Housing CN6 Infrastructure CN7 Essential Services CN9 Transport</p>	<p>Policies EP1, SS8, EP3 and EP4 are cumulatively supportive of economic development, and these are supported in principle by policies SS1, SS3, SS4, SS5, and CN2 which enable new housing development to support the economy, in locations which are in commuting distance of employment opportunities. Policies CN6 and CN9 directly and indirectly enable infrastructure which is supportive of this objective. Policy CN7 may enable local business opportunities.</p>

SA Objective	Proposals which combine to bring cumulative/synergistic/indirect effects	Effects
	EP1 Economic Growth and Investment SS8 Basing View EP3 Town, District and Local Centres EP4 Rural Economy	
13) To maintain and enhance sustainable economic growth	<p><u>SD1 Presumption in favour of sustainable development</u></p> SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS8 Nuclear installations – Tadley and Burghfield	<p>Policies EP1, SS8, EP3, EP4 are cumulatively supportive of economic development in sustainable locations, and these are supported in principle by policies SS1, SS3, SS4, SS5, CN1 and CN2 which enable new housing development to support the economy, in locations which are in commuting distance of employment opportunities.</p> <p>Policy SS8, by being restrictive of new development in and around Tadley, may negatively impact on this development locally. Policies CN6 and CN9 directly and indirectly enable infrastructure which is supportive of this objective.</p> <p>Policies CN6, CN9, EM8 and EM9 cumulatively ensure that new economic development is sustainable.</p>
14) To enhance the attractiveness of the Borough to visitors	CN1 Affordable Housing CN2 Rural Exceptions for Affordable Housing CN6 Infrastructure CN7 Essential Services CN9 Transport	<p>EM8 Delivering Renewable/Low Carbon Energy Development EM9 Sustainable Water Use</p> <p>EP1 Economic Growth and Investment S8 Basing View EP3 Town, District and Local Centres EP4 Rural Economy</p>
	SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS2 Regeneration SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS8 Nuclear installations – Tadley and Burghfield	<p>The spatial strategy policies SS1 and SS3 are based on the need to provide sufficient housing without compromising the attractiveness of the borough. By directing new development towards existing settlements, the countryside and AONB is protected as far as possible and the environmental impacts of the allocated sites have been considered through the strategic site assessments.</p> <p>Cumulatively and synergistically policies EM1, EM3, EM4, EM5, EM6, EM8 and EM11 will ensure that new development protects and enhances the positive assets of the borough including the landscape, design of the built environment, historic environment, biodiversity and green infrastructure. Policies EP1, SS8, EP3 and CN8 seek to attract business visitors, shoppers and users of leisure and cultural</p>
	CN6 Infrastructure CN8 Community, leisure and cultural facilities	
	EM1 Landscape EM3 Thames Basin Heath Special Protection Area EM4 Biodiversity and Nature Conservation EM5 Green Infrastructure EM6 Water Quality EM10 Delivering High Quality Development EM11 The Historic Environment EM8 Delivering Renewable / Low	

SA Objective	Proposals which combine to bring cumulative/synergistic/indirect effects	Effects
	<p>Carbon Energy Development</p> <p>EP1 Economic Growth and Investment SS8 Basing View EP3 Town, District and Local Centres EP4 Rural Economy</p>	<p>facilities whilst directing new economic, retail and leisure development to appropriate locations which are least likely to harm the environment of the borough.</p> <p>There is some uncertainty regarding the potential impact of policies EM8, EP4 and SS5 on this objective but the environmental management policies referred to above will minimise impact and ensure mitigation.</p>
<p>15) To protect and enhance distinctive and high quality features of the local built environment</p>	<p>SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS2 Regeneration CN1 Affordable Housing CN2 Rural Exceptions for Affordable Housing CN3 Housing Mix for Market Housing CN4 Housing for Older People/ Specialist Housing</p> <p>EM1 Landscape t EM5 Green Infrastructure EM7 Managing Flood risk EM10 Delivering High Quality Development EM11 The Historic Environment EM8 Delivering Renewable / Low Carbon Energy Development EM9 Sustainable Water Use</p> <p>EP1 Economic Growth and Investment SS8 Basing View EP3 Town, District and Local Centres EP4 Rural Economy</p>	<p>The site specific allocations and reserve sites policies seek to minimise impact on the local built environment through choice of sites. Any new development will be subject to mitigation and the environmental management policies, particularly EM7, EM10, EM11, EM9 cumulatively and synergistically ensure that high quality features of the built environment are protected through design, protection of the historic environment and minimising the impacts of flooding and climate change.</p>
<p>16) To improve the efficiency of resource use, including re-using previously developed land, existing buildings and materials, and encouraging the maximum use of sustainable design and construction technologies</p>	<p>SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS2 Regeneration</p> <p>CN2 Rural Exceptions for Affordable Housing CN4 Housing for Older People/ Specialist Housing CN6 Infrastructure</p>	<p>Spatial strategy policies SS1, SS3, SS4, SS5, and SS2 prioritise brownfield development and seek to minimise greenfield development to that necessary to meet housing need. Nevertheless, SS3 which allocates greenfield development, clearly have a negative impact on this objective. The economic policies which generally direct economic development to existing employment locations are broadly supportive of this approach</p>

SA Objective	Proposals which combine to bring cumulative/synergistic/indirect effects	Effects
	<p>CN7 Essential Services</p> <p>EM10 Delivering High Quality Development EM9 The Historic Environment EM8 Delivering Renewable / Low Carbon Energy Development EM9 Sustainable Water Use</p> <p>EP1 Economic Growth and Investment SS8 Basing View EP3 Town, District and Local Centres EP4 Rural Economy</p>	<p>(although could enable new development on Greenfield sites). Policies CN6, EM10, EM8, and EM9 cumulatively and synergistically minimise resource use in existing and new development and positively address resource use and sustainable design and construction.</p> <p>Policies CN2 and SS5 enable new development in rural locations which directly and indirectly could negatively impact this objective and could, although unlikely, cumulatively result in a relatively significant amount of greenfield development in the rural area.</p>
<p>(17) To create and sustain vibrant settlements and communities</p>	<p><u>SD1 Presumption in favour of sustainable development</u></p> <p>SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS2 Regeneration SS7 Nuclear installations – Tadley and Burghfield</p> <p>CN1 Affordable Housing CN2 Rural Exceptions for Affordable Housing CN3 Housing mix for market housing CN4 Housing for Older People/ Specialist Housing CN5 Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople CN6 Infrastructure CN7 Essential Services CN8 Community, leisure and cultural facilities CN9 Transport</p> <p>EM1 Landscape EM3 Thames Basin Heath Special Protection Area EM4 Biodiversity and Nature Conservation EM5 Green Infrastructure EM6 Water Quality EM7 Managing Flood risk EM10 Delivering High Quality Development</p>	<p>Spatial strategy policies SS1, SS3, SS4, SS2 and the economic policies EP1, SS8, and EP3 direct new development to existing settlements and communities enabling new housing, services, and employment to support existing and new communities. Policy SS7 negatively impacts locally on the settlement of Tadley by restricting nearly all new development.</p> <p>The community policies CN1, CN2, CN5, CN6, CN7, CN8, CN9 cumulatively and synergistically ensure communities are supported by necessary infrastructure and have access to a range of housing, services and facilities. Environmental management policies together ensure the borough's environment (built and natural) is protected and enhanced to the benefit of communities, and the impact on climate change is minimised for the longer term.</p>

SA Objective	Proposals which combine to bring cumulative/synergistic/indirect effects	Effects
	EM11 The Historic Environment EM9 Sustainable Water Use EP1 Economic Growth and Investment SS8 Basing View EP3 Town, District and Local Centres EP4 Rural Economy	
<p>18) To promote and improve access to open space and countryside</p>	SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS2 Regeneration CN6 Infrastructure CN8 Community, leisure and cultural facilities EM3 Thames Basin Heath Special Protection Area EM4 Biodiversity and Nature Conservation EM5 Green Infrastructure EM10 Delivering High Quality Development <u>EP1 Economic Growth and Investment</u>	<p>Although policies SS1, SS3 enable development that will result in loss of countryside, the site specific policies ensure access via public rights of way is maintained and open space provision is made on site. <u>Policy EP1 could also result in the loss of countryside.</u> Policies CN6, CN8, EM3, EM4, EM5 and EM10 cumulatively and synergistically support retention and provision of high quality open space.</p>
<p>19) To improve community safety by reducing crime, the fear of crime, and antisocial behaviour</p>	SS2 Regeneration CN6 Infrastructure CN7 Essential Services CN8 Community, leisure and cultural facilities EM10 Delivering High Quality Development SS8 Basing View EP3 Town, District and Local Centres	<p>The Plan as a whole is supportive towards community safety with no negative impacts identified.</p> <p>Policies EM10, SS8 and SS2 together will ensure regeneration schemes are of high quality design which 'designs out crime' in new development. Provision of community facilities and infrastructure through policies CN6 and CN8, and SS2 ensure deprived areas are regenerated and facilities provided to reduce crime and antisocial behaviour.</p>
<p>20) To improve health and well-being through the development of healthy communities</p>	SS1 Scale and distribution of new housing SS3 Greenfield site allocations SS4 Ensuring a supply of deliverable sites SS5 Neighbourhood Planning SS2 Regeneration SS7 Nuclear installations – Tadley and Burghfield CN1 Affordable Housing CN2 Rural Exceptions for Affordable Housing CN4 Housing for Older People/	<p>Although some potential negative impacts on health have been identified for site allocation policy SS3 in respect of specific sites, these are generally site specific (e.g. proximity to potential noise or odour nuisance) and will be addressed through design and mitigation and are unlikely to have a cumulative impact. The potential cumulative impact on health services has been considered through the site assessment, spatial and settlement options appraisal</p>

SA Objective	Proposals which combine to bring cumulative/synergistic/indirect effects	Effects
	Specialist Housing CN5 Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show people CN6 Infrastructure CN7 Essential Services CN8 Community, leisure and cultural facilities CN9 Transport EM10 Delivering High Quality Development EM6 Water Quality EM3 Thames Basin Heath Special Protection Area EM4 Biodiversity and Nature Conservation EM5 Green Infrastructure EM9 Sustainable Water Use SS8 Basing View EP3 Town, District and Local Centres	and there are no overriding negative impacts that cannot be addressed through expansion/new services and financial contributions. The other policies of the Local Plan positively support health and well-being through the provision of housing to meet local needs, positive economic development policies to enable employment provision, community policies to provide social infrastructure.

Appendix 4 – proposed monitoring framework of significant sustainability effects (updated Table 14 of the SA Report (April 2015))

As stated in paragraph 12.3 of the SA Report (April 2015), there is a need to consider monitoring of significant sustainability effects alongside monitoring of the Local Plan and other Authority Monitoring Report (AMR) indicators. The proposed monitoring framework of significant sustainability effects can be found in table 14 of the SA Report (April 2015). In light of some of the proposed modifications, amendments to table 14 are proposed – changes to indicators are shown via a strikethrough for text deleted or underlined and red text for new wording/ indicators.

Table 14 will be finalised at the time of the adoption of the Local Plan.

Table 14 – proposed monitoring framework of significant sustainability effects

Objective No.	Effects to be monitored	Indicators to be used	Suggested frequency of review	Responsibility for undertaking monitoring
1	Provision of new housing	Housing completions (market and affordable)	Annually	BDBC
	Housing affordability	Ratio of lower quartile house prices to lower quartile of earnings	Annually	BDBC
	Homelessness	Housing Register	Annually	BDBC
	Provision of Gypsy and traveller pitches	New gypsy and traveller pitches permitted	Annually	BDBC
		Unauthorised encampments Gypsy and traveller needs	Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment every 5 years	BDBC
	Sustainability of new dwellings	Sustainability of new dwellings	Through review of Local Transport Plans	BDBC/HCC
2	Reducing deprivation	Index of multiple deprivation	Annually	BDBC
	Regeneration of neighbourhoods	Monitoring framework set out in the Neighbourhood Renewal	Annually	BDBC/partners

		Strategy		
3	Reducing and minimising greenhouse gas emissions and managing the impact of climate change	<p>CO2 emissions per capita</p> <p>Generation of renewable/low carbon energy</p> <p>% new housing meeting Code for Sustainable Homes level 3 or 4</p> <p>% new development meeting BREEAM very good standard</p> <p>Energy performance certificates submitted with planning applications <u>for new buildings completed.</u></p> <p>Household energy use per capita</p> <p>Flood risk (see objective 7)</p>	<p>Annually</p> <p>Annually</p> <p>Annually</p> <p>Annually</p> <p>Annually</p>	<p>BDBC</p> <p>BDBC</p> <p>BDBC</p> <p>BDBC</p> <p>BDBC</p>
4	Protection and enhancement of local biodiversity, flora and fauna	<p>The condition and extent of SSSIs and extent of council-owned SINCs in the borough. Condition of SSSI's</p> <p>Progress towards targets for the restoration and creation of key habitat types</p> <p>Compensation measures that have been secured to mitigate the loss</p>	<p>Annually</p> <p>Periodically, through the Green Infrastructure Strategy</p> <p>Annually</p>	<p>Natural England <u>and BDBC</u></p> <p>BDBC</p> <p>BDBC</p>

		<p>of any key habitat types through development management decisions</p> <p>A record of the improvements secured to the green infrastructure network as part of new developments (on and off-site provision)</p> <p><u>Key semi-natural habitat lost to development</u></p> <p><u>Area of habitat creation/restoration associated with new development or on private land through council initiatives</u></p> <p><u>Increase in the area of council open spaces managed for biodiversity interest</u></p>	<p>Annually</p> <p><u>Annual through Living Landscape Update, incorporating outputs from the relevant strategies/action plans above and relevant indicators arising from Biodiversity 2020 (when available).</u></p>	<p>BDBC</p> <p>BDBC</p> <p>BDBC</p> <p>BDBC</p>
5	<p>Protection and enhancement of the distinctiveness and quality of the local landscape, soils, geological and geomorphologic interests</p> <p>Impact on the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</p> <p>Loss of good quality</p>	<p>Preparation of DPDs <u>Local Plan</u> for the allocation of sites and Neighbourhood plans</p>	<p>As appropriate as part of the preparation of DPDs</p>	<p>BDBC, <u>Neighbourhood Plan Qualifying Bodies and</u> North Wessex Downs Management Office</p>

	agricultural land			
6	Maintenance/improvement in water quality	<u>Water quality Compliance with the Water Framework Directive and the relevant River Basin Management Plans</u>	Annual	Environment Agency, <u>water companies and BDBC</u>
7	Risk of flooding	Number of planning applications approved contrary to Environment Agency advice on flood risk	Annually	BDBC/Environment Agency
	Impacts on local community	Records of flooding incidents	Periodical updates to the SFRA	BDBC, Environment Agency
8	Improvement in sustainable accessibility to all services and facilities	Sustainability of new dwellings – distance from local services	Annually	BDBC/HCC
		Loss of essential services (change of use planning applications granted)	Annually	BDBC
9	Access to sustainable transport options	Travel to work information Sustainability of new dwellings – distance from local services	Through review of local transport plans	BDBC/HCC
10	Educational attainment opportunities for new skills and learning	Provision of new/improved education facilities	Monitoring of S106 contributions/CIL – annually	BDBC
11	Developing a diverse and competitive knowledge-based economy	Business formation rate	Annually	BDBC
		Changes in economic sector representation	Annually	BDBC
		Indicators of economic/emplo	Annually	BDBC

		ymment growth and demand for employment space		
12	Employment levels	Economically active	Annually	BDBC
		Unemployment rate	Annually	BDBC
		<u>Net new jobs in the borough</u>	<u>Annually</u>	<u>BDBC</u>
13	Sustainable economic growth	Changes in the supply of employment land and space	Annually	BDBC
		Net completions of employment space	Annually	BDBC
		Levels of vacancy	Annually	BDBC
		Indicators of economic/employment growth and demand for employment space	Annually	BDBC
14	Enhancing the attractiveness of the Borough to visitors	Borough surveys/research by Destination Basingstoke	Periodically	BDBC/Destination Basingstoke
15	Protection and enhancement of distinctive and high quality features of the local built environment	A review of the English Heritage At Risk register	Periodically	BDBC
		The quarterly Appeals report in respect of proposals relating to planning applications	Quarterly	BDBC
		<u>Building for Life Assessments</u>	<u>Annually</u>	<u>BDBC</u>
16	Improved efficiency of resource use	Recycling of household waste	Annually	BDBC/HCC
	Re-use of	Residential development on	Annually	BDBC/HCC

	previously developed land Encouraging the maximum use of sustainable design and construction technologies	previously developed land % of buildings meeting BREEAM 'very good' standard % new housing meeting Code for Sustainable Homes level 3 or 4 <u>Post Construction BREEAM certificates</u>	Annually Annually	BDBC BDBC
17	Vibrancy of settlements and communities	Retail assessment Provision of affordable housing as 'rural exceptions' Loss of essential services (change of use planning applications granted) Changes in the supply of employment land and space in the rural area Net completions of employment space in the rural area Proposals for farm diversification schemes	Periodically, as required Annually Annually Annually Annually	BDBC BDBC BDBC BDBC/HCC BDBC / HCC BDBC
18	Access to open space and countryside	Monitor provision through S106/CIL <u>Monitor gains and losses of different types of</u>	Annually	BDBC

		<p><u>green spaces (in ha) resulting from development management decisions</u> <u>Monitoring of gains and losses of green space in development management decisions</u></p> <p>Monitor the implementation <u>and delivery</u> of the Green Infrastructure Strategy <u>and its Action Plan.</u></p>		
19	Community safety – crime levels, the fear of crime, and antisocial behaviour	<p>Crime rates</p> <p>Monitoring developments in terms of Buildings for Life assessment</p>	Annually	BDBC
20	Health and well-being	<p>Quality of life indicators</p> <p>Monitor provision of homes built to Lifetime Homes</p> <p><u>Number of accessible and adaptable homes (market and affordable) that meet requirement M4(2) of the Building Regulations 2015 or any subsequent government standard.</u></p>	<p>Annually</p> <p>Annually</p>	<p>BDBC</p> <p>BDBC</p>